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Abstract—Innovation is being view from four areas of innovation, product, service, technology, and marketing. Whereas customer loyalty is composed of customer expectation, perceived quality, perceived value, corporate image, customer satisfaction, customer trust/confidence, customer commitment, customer complaint, and customer loyalty. This study aimed to investigate the influence of innovation factors to customer loyalty to GSM in the telecom companies where use of products and services. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) using to analyze innovation factors. It was found the factor of innovation have significant influence on customer loyalty.
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I. INTRODUCTION

INDONESIA is one of a high growth rate country in telecommunications. Until year 2008 it was in the third position in Asia market, which is ranked of 15th of 20 countries Internet user in the world, with active users as much as 25 million people. Currently it has 11 pieces operators or providers, namely Telkom, Telkomsel, Indosat, Bakrie Telecom, Excelcomindo, Mobile-8, Smart, Sampoerna IT, NTS and Hutchinson. Most of the market share of GSM telecommunications market is held by three main operators, namely SingTel, Indosat and Excelcomindo.

These conditions make the telecommunications market becomes more competitive, with increasingly strong competition, which led to increasing levels of churn rate (customer transfer) and decreased of ARPU (Average Revenue Per-Unit) of the operator. Nowadays, customers not only see the benefits of a telecommunications provider based on the rates offered, but also quality of service, innovation, and the signal coverage owned by the provider. These three factors will affect the level of satisfaction of customer. For that reason, the telecommunication provider needs to develop innovative products and services, with better quality in order to retain more customers[1].

According to Luecke and Katz, innovation is the introduction or development of a new product or method, which gives a positive impact. Innovation is the embodiment, combination, or synthesis of knowledge which is original, relevant, and gives added value to the product, process, or service.

Innovation can cover many areas of business processes, such as product, process or service, technology, organization, marketing, and strategy of the company[2]. Innovation as new processes run by the organization is a sustainable business cycle. This cycle consists of several phases such as awareness, appreciation, adoption, diffusion, and implementation[3].

Diffusion of innovation is a process by which innovation is communicated through certain channels of information and in a certain period of time, to the members of a particular social system that regulates relationships between individuals[2].

In this study, we will be focused on the diffusion of the innovation process itself. There are some attributes that are often used to measure the advantages and disadvantages of an innovation, namely: (1) relative advantage, (2) compatibility, (3) complexity, (4) trialibility, and (5) observability[4]-[6].

Loyalty is faithfulness that arises without any forces, but comes from consciousness itself based on experience which felt in the past. Loyalty happened from satisfied customers. In the ECSI model (European Customer Satisfaction Index)[7],[8], customer loyalty arised as a result of customer satisfaction on quality, value, expectations, and company image.

According to Marie-Christine Plichon Lichtlé and Véronique, customer loyalty (attitudes and behavior) comes from the commitment and trust / confidence of customers, which is formed as a result of a feeling satisfied with the purchase or consumption of a goods[9].

Innovation, customer satisfaction and loyalty is often referred as latent variables, then to analyze it, can use the Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) method which can be explained by several indicators (manifest variables) to be observed directly through a survey to the respondents[10]-[13].

The questionnaire consists of three parts, the first is about customer satisfaction to the performance of innovation, which includes products, services, technology, and marketing innovation.

The second section about the level of customer agreement on statements related to customer expectations, quality, value, satisfaction, company image, customer trust, commitment, complaints, and customer loyalty. Both parts are represented by 41 statements of questionnaire that uses 5-point Likert scale [14]. The third part is about the respondent's personal data.
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There are a total of 11 latent variables used in this study, which consisted of two factors of innovation (relative advantage and compatibility) and nine antecedents of loyalty that was developed based on the ECSI (European Customer Satisfaction Index) and previous studies. The relationship of 11 variables can be seen in Figure 1.

There are nine hypotheses which will be tested in this study, namely:

- $H_1$: Customer Loyalty is influenced by all the variables that exist in the research model; Customer Commitment, Customer Complaint two attributes of loyalty, Relative Advantage and Compatibility
- $H_2$: Customer Commitment is influenced by; Customer Trust, Customer Satisfaction, Corporate Image, Perceived Value, Customer Expectation, Perceived Quality, and Innovation Attributes
- $H_3$: Customer Trust is influenced by Customer Satisfaction, Corporate Image, Perceived Value, Customer Expectation, Perceived Quality, and Innovation Attributes
- $H_4$: Customer Complaint is influenced by Customer Satisfaction, Corporate Image, Perceived Value, Customer Expectation, Perceived Quality, and Innovation Attributes
- $H_5$: Customer Satisfaction is influenced by Customer Expectation, Perceived Quality, Perceived Value, Corporate Image, and Attributes Innovation
- $H_6$: Perceived value is influenced by Customer Expectation, Perceived Quality, Corporate Image, and Innovation Attributes
- $H_7$: Perceived Quality is influenced by Customer Expectation and Attributes Innovation
- $H_8$: Customer Expectation is influenced by two attributes of innovation,
- $H_9$: Corporate Image is influenced by two attributes of innovation

Customer loyalty represents faithfulness behavior of customers. Based on several previous studies, there were six statements that are used to measure customer loyalty: (1) I will immediately recharge my GSM card if its active period had expired [8], (2) I’m not going to buy a SIM Card of other providers, even for temporary purposes, (3) I will tolerate the rate increase proposed, (4) I would recommend the provider to any friends or relatives who ask my opinion [Cronin, Brady, and Hult, 2000], [14] I will not move to other providers, [15] I will only use one service to communicate permanently;[8] Commitment expresses a progressive process that occurs without awareness, and expressed through actions that reject a change, where customers tend to be loyal to the actions and himself [Joule and Beauvois, 1989], two statements are used to measure commitment of customers, namely: (1) My choice will not change despite the many attractive promotions from other providers and (2) I would not change my choice even if my friends or relatives recommend other providers[4]. Trust describes an attitude or expectation of trust [Luftman, 1988], which realized through compliance of the customer. Two statements are used to measure consumer confidence, namely: (1) I believe this provider always offers the best quality of products and services and (2) I believe this company always innovating to meet customers’ needs. [16] Customer complaints is considered as an expression of dissatisfaction from customers on products and services provided by the company, this study focused on the handling of complaints. There are two statements that are used to measure the complaint, namely: (1) Based on experience, the provider had concern in dealing with complaints from customers and (2) the provider always responsive and fast in handling customer complaints. [9][16] Customer satisfaction represents the performance of a company based on the perspective of customer needs as measured by three statements: (1) overall, I was satisfied with the provider performance, (2) I feel satisfied with the quality and reliability of products, services, and technology provided because it met my expectations, and (3) This provider offered ideal products and services.[8],[15]-[6] Corporate image is the image or impression inherent within the customers about the company, in terms of product performance, social contribution, etc., and measured by three statements: (1) It has a good social contribution to society and the environment, (2) It is an innovative and competitive provider, and (3) It always focused on its customers. Perceived value is considered as an overall assessment made by customers about the utility of the innovations based on what is received and what must be paid. This values measured by three statements: (1) The price offered is proportional to the quality of products, services, and technologies provided, (2) Quality of products, services, and technologies which I felt was proportional to the rates provided, and (3) The consequences that I received is proportional to the quality of products, services, and technologies offered by Indosat. Quality is intrinsic attributes which evaluated in high and low levels. Quality is measured by three statements are: (1) Based on my experience, It has always offered a variety of best quality services, (2) The products, services, and the technology offered was reliable, and (3) It provides services that can be customized with customers desires. [7][8][15] Customer expectations is customer confidence before trying or purchasing a product, which used as a standard in assessing the performance of such products as measured by three statements: (1) It provides product quality and services that meet my expectations (2) Reliability of products, services, and technologies offered by in accordance with my expectations, and (3) my expectations toward service customization can be met by this company[7][8],[15].

Relative advantages of innovation describe the degree to which an innovation is considered better than the existing ideas, measured from the competitiveness and comprehensiveness of product package, reliability, security.
and customization, ease of service of Indosat, technological excellence, as well as attractive promotions [5],[8]. While compatibility of innovation is the degree of suitability of an innovation to the experience and value of customers, which significantly affects the willingness to adopt an innovation measured from the conformance to customer requirements, market developments, lifestyle, work patterns, services needs, habits, and social culture of the customer [5],[8].

Questionnaires have been conducting a pilot test on 50 respondents with construct reliability (Chronbach α) greater than 0.70 (the standard measure of reliability).

From the total of 418 questionnaires distributed, there were 410 valid questionnaires that are considered adequate, consist of 53% women respondents and 47% male. The majorities of customers are aged between 17-25 years (89%) and have a residence in South Jakarta (25%), East Jakarta (20%), and Depok (West Java) (20%). Whereas based on the product used, 86% are IM3 users, 11% Mentari users, and only 3% who use Matrix. Most respondents use a Indosat GSM for SMS (67%), Internet (15%), and Phone (13%). In addition, most respondents also spent a pulse < Rp100.000 (US $10.5), via mobile phones, especially for SMS (74%).

This study used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with LISREL 8.80 to evaluate the measurement model and structural model of research. SEM can be described as follows:

\[ y = \Lambda y + \varepsilon \]  
\[ x = \Lambda x + \delta \]

with:
- \( \eta \) (eta) is m x 1 latent endogenous variables
- \( \xi \) (ksi) is n x 1 latent exogenous variables
- \( \zeta \) (zeta) is m x 1 latent errors in equations
- \( y \) is p x 1 observed indicators of \( \eta \)
- \( x \) is q x 1 observed indicators of \( \xi \)
- \( \varepsilon \) (epsilon) is p x 1 measurement errors for \( y \)
- \( \delta \) (delta) is q x 1 measurement errors for \( x \)
- \( \Lambda \) (lambda) is p x m coefficient matrix for latent endogenous variables
- \( \Gamma \) (gamma) is m x n coefficient matrix for latent exogenous variables
- \( \Lambda \) (lambda y) is p x m coefficient matrix relating \( y \) to \( \eta \)
- \( \Lambda \) (lambda x) is q x n coefficient matrix relating \( x \) to \( \xi \)

There are five main stages in the analysis which are model specification, model identification, model estimation, model fit test, and model respecification.

In this study, the estimation performed using Robust Maximum Likelihood Estimator (RMLE), and use 16 goodness of fit index to test the suitability of estimation model with existing data. These measures are: Statistics Chi-square, \( \chi^2 \) (the smaller, more fit, \( P> 0.05 \)), scaled NCP (small value and narrow interval), Goodness-Of-Fit Index (GFI, values are \( > 0.9 \)), Root Mean Square Residual (RMR, the value \( \leq 0.05 \)), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA, value \( \leq 0.08 \)), Expected Cross-Validation Index (ECVI, the closer to Saturated ECVI, better for the model), Tucker-Lewis Index or Non-Normed Fit Index (NNFI, value \( \geq 0.9 \)), Normed Fit Index (NFI, value \( \geq 0.9 \)), Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI, value \( \geq 0.9 \)), Relative Fit Index (RFI, value \( \geq 0.9 \)), Incremental Fit Index (IFI, value \( \geq 0.9 \)), Comparative Fit Index (CFI, value \( \geq 0.9 \)), Normed Chi-Square (lower limit: 1, upper limit: 2 or 3 & a more lenient 5), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC, the closer to Saturated AIC, better for the model), Consistent Akaike Information Criterion (CAIC, the closer to Saturated CAIC, better for the model), and Critical "N" (CN, value \( \geq 200 \)).

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There are two important parts related to the measurement model and structural model of the research.

A. Measurement model

The measurement model was analyzed by using confirmatory factor analysis. Based on the model fit test, it was found that the measurement model has a good fit with the data, as shown in Table 1, where 10 of the 16 goodness of fit index show that the measurement model was fit to the data. In Table 2, we can see that the 39 indicators used (Observed variables) has a good validity with standard factor loading ranged from 0.52 - 0.96, indicating a strong relationship between the 39 indicators and the measured concept (latent variables), while the two indicators ("I will immediately recharge my GSM card if its active period had expired" and "I will tolerate the rate increase proposed ") have a standard factor loading < 0.5, thus eliminated from the model. Composite reliability, can be seen in Table 3 ranged between 0.91 - 0.98 indicated good reliability of the measurement model, and the value of extract variants between 0.56 - 0.95 (greater than 0.5) indicates that the variation of observed variables can be explained by the variation of latent variable significantly.

B. Structural Model

The structural model was analyzed using SEM to see the relationship between factors that have influence in the model. At the first estimation, the structural model showed a lot of insignificant relationships, so the model was re-evaluated. There were four relationships influence (path) being removed, from the two innovation attributes (relative advantage and compatibility) to the customer trust. After being re-evaluated estimation results showed the structural models have a good fit between the data and model. In the final estimation results shown in Table 3, the nine main hypothesis of the study (which consists of 50 sub-hypotheses), there are six hypotheses fulfilled. Meanwhile, three other hypotheses are not which are: \( H_1 \), \( H_2 \), and \( H_3 \). Hypothesis 1 is not met because the two attributes of innovation (relative advantage and compatibility) and customer complaints do not have a significant direct effect on customer loyalty.
Hypothesis 5 is not met due to the fact that the two attributes of innovation (relative advantage and compatibility) and customer expectations have no significant direct influence on customer satisfaction. While Hypothesis 6 is not met because of the fact that the two attributes of innovation (relative advantage and compatibility) and corporate image have no significant direct effect on perceived value.

Two attributes of innovation (relative advantage and compatibility) has no significant direct influence on satisfaction and loyalty to customers it will not immediately become dissatisfied or disloyal simply because the innovations.


table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Relative Advantage</th>
<th>Compatibility</th>
<th>Perceived Quality</th>
<th>Perceived Value</th>
<th>Customer Satisfaction</th>
<th>Customer Commitment</th>
<th>Customer Complaint</th>
<th>Loyalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance Extracted</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
<td>Good Reliability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two attributes of innovation (relative advantage and compatibility) has no significant direct effect to the perceived value. It should be noticed, most of customers are among the young, who use mobile services for SMS and Internet. They are not too familiar with other services such as Call Centers, Mobile Banking, Payment Point (convenience and security), Cell Broadcast, Network technology (mobile broadband), and other support services. They are less able to feel the other benefits provided, such as security and customization services, service reliability, easy of service, as well as the development of network technology. In addition, they are also not too concerned with products, services, technology, marketing and media in accordance with social and cultural habits. The insignificant effect of innovation attributes to perceived value caused by customers cannot totally be able the benefits directly.

Company image does not directly affected to the perceived value because of social programs which runs by company such as CSR and corporate performance which will not provide benefits directly to customers, but the image built is to attract customers.

Expectations do not provide a direct impact to customer satisfaction. Logically customer expectations will affect the level of satisfaction. Therefore, assessment needs to be done, because expectations and customers satisfaction is in a conditional relationship.

Complaint has no significant impact on customer loyalty. The majority of customers come from young people who have a tendency to use telecommunications services such as SMS, internet, telephone and BB services. The loyal customers would not be concerned about this complaint, because of their reluctant to switching barriers such as rate differences, troubles caused by the replacement of number, etc.

In addition, from table 3 it can be seen the attributes of innovation (relative advantage and compatibility) has a significant effect to customer perceived quality, customer expectations, company image, and customer trust.

Based on these results, we can draw a conclusion that although the innovation factors directly do not affect the customer satisfaction and loyalty, eventhough still have a significant effect on customer satisfaction and loyalty through its influence on antecedents of customer loyalty.

In fact, Table 3 shows the total effect of the innovation attributes (relative advantage and compatibility, 0.49) was higher when compared with the effect of several antecedents of loyalty, as customer expectations (0.08), perceived quality (0.13), perceived value (0.06), customer satisfaction (0.30), company image (0.14), consumer trust (0.46), and customer complaints (-0.02), Except for a commitment from the customers (0.80). Its proves that the loyalty of Indosat’s customers were more determined by the commitment, trust, satisfaction, relative advantages of Indosat (competitiveness, completeness of service, service reliability, saving in time and effort, broadband technologies, and attractive promotions) and compatibility of its services toward their life style, work
patterns, needs, market trends, behavior, and socio-cultural community.

III. CONCLUSION

Innovation has no direct significant effect on improving customer loyalty, but has a significant effect on customer loyalty through its influence on antecedents of loyalty. Companies need to pay attention to innovation activities for enhancing customer loyalty, in order to increase the value (revenue and market share) of the company. This study can help the managers to control the innovation activity, make a better innovation strategy, and build the effective innovation portfolio.
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