Abstract—This paper presents a linear stability analysis of natural convection in a horizontal layer of a viscoelastic nanofluid. The Oldroyd B model was utilized to describe the rheological behavior of a viscoelastic nanofluid. The model used for the nanofluid incorporated the effects of Brownian motion and thermophoresis. The onset criterion for stationary and oscillatory convection was derived analytically. The effects of the Deborah number, retardation parameters, concentration Rayleigh number, Prandtl number, and Lewis number on the stability of the system were investigated. Results indicated that there was competition among the processes of thermophoresis, Brownian diffusion, and viscoelasticity which caused oscillatory rather than stationary convection to occur. Oscillatory instability is possible with both bottom- and top-heavy nanoparticle distributions. Regimes of stationary and oscillatory convection for various parameters were derived and are discussed in detail.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The term “nanofluid” was coined by Choi [1] to refer to a fluid containing a dispersion of nanoparticles. Characteristic features of nanofluids are the formation of very stable colloidal systems with very little settling and anomalous enhancement of the thermal conductivity compared to the base fluid [2, 3]. Buongiorno [4] focused on heat transfer enhancement of nanofluids in convective situations. He concluded that in the absence of turbulent effects, only Brownian diffusion and thermophoresis are important slip mechanisms in nanofluids. Based on this finding, Buongiorno [4] wrote down conservation equations of a non-homogeneous equilibrium model of nanofluids for mass, momentum, and heat transport. The onset of convection of the Benard problem of pure nanofluids and nanofluid-saturated layers based on Buongiorno’s model has attracted much interest in the past 3 years. The onset of a nanofluid layer was studied by Tzou [5,6] and Nield and Kuznetsov [7]. Convection of non-Newtonian fluids in a porous medium is of considerable importance in several applied fields such as oil recovery, food processing, and the spread of contaminants in the environment, and in various processes in the chemical and manufacturing industry. The onset of thermal convection in a viscoelastic fluid was studied by many authors [8-14]. Since elastic behavior is inherent in non-Newtonian fluids, oscillatory instability can set in before a stationary mode is achieved. It is commonly believed that oscillatory convection is not possible in viscoelastic fluids under realistic experimental conditions [13]. However, experiments with a DNA suspension showed that convection patterns take the form of spatially localized standing and traveling waves which exhibit small amplitudes and extremely long oscillation periods [15]. Those experiments triggered new interest in convection by applying binary aspects to viscoelastic fluids. Rayleigh-Benard convection in binary viscoelastic fluids was studied by some researchers [16-21]. Results show that there is competition among the processes of thermal diffusion, solute diffusion, and viscoelasticity that causes convection to set in through an oscillatory rather than a stationary mode. Results of convection instability in nanofluids indicate that both Brownian diffusion and thermophoresis give rise to cross-diffusion terms that are in some ways analogous to the familiar Soret and Dufour cross-diffusion terms that arise in binary fluids [7,8]. To the author’s knowledge, there is only one study on convection instability of non-Newtonian nanofluids. Nield [22] briefly discussed convection instability in a porous medium saturated by a non-Newtonian nanofluid of the power law type. We are unaware of any publication discussing the effect of fluid viscoelasticity on the oscillatory instability of nanofluids. In this present work, the oscillatory instability of a viscoelastic nanofluid layer was studied. Our objective in the present work was to study how the onset criterion for oscillatory convection is affected by interactions among Brownian diffusion, thermophoretic diffusion, and viscoelasticity, and how it is related to the oscillatory instability of a binary viscoelastic base fluid. The Oldroyd-B fluid model was employed to describe the rheological behavior of a viscoelastic nanofluid. In order to assess the effects of viscoelastic parameters through analytical expressions, free-free boundary conditions were used in the first instance.

II. MATHEMATICAl FORMULATION

Conservation equations for a viscoelastic nanofluid layer.

We consider an infinite horizontal layer of a viscoelastic nanofluid subjected to a vertical temperature gradient, confined between the plane z=0 and z=d. According to the work of Buongiorno [4], the momentum equation for a nanofluid is of the same form as that of a pure fluid. The viscoelastic fluid of the Oldroyd type was used to model the momentum equation. We assumed that when the Boussinesq approximation is adopted, the basic governing equations are:

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \,
\]

\[ \left( 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} \right) + \nabla p' - \rho g' = \mu \left( 1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}', \quad (2) \]

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \right) T' = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla T' + (\rho c_v) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \right) T' \quad (3) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} = D_s \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + D_{T_s} \nabla T \,
\]

\[ \rho g' \equiv [\phi \rho_s (1 - \phi) \rho_f (1 - \beta(T - T_0))] \mathbf{g} \,.
\]
where $\tau_1$ is the stress relaxation time, $\tau_2$ is the strain retardation time, $q'$ is the velocity vector, $\rho'$ is the hydrostatic pressure, $g$ is the gravitational acceleration vector, $D_b$ is the Brownian diffusion coefficient, $D_a$ is the thermophoretic diffusion coefficient, and $\phi$ is the nanoparticle volume fraction. Notice that $\tau_1 > \tau_2$ and that when $\tau_2 = 0$, we recover the Maxwell viscoelastic model; while for $\tau_2 = \tau_1$, the model reduces to that of a Newtonian nanofluid. It should be noted that in writing down Eqs. (2) and (3), we assumed that the variations in $\mu$ and $k$ are negligible.

We assumed that the temperature and volumetric fraction of the nanoparticles are constant at the boundaries. Thus the boundary conditions are:

$$w' = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial z'} = 0, \quad \phi' = \phi_0' \quad \text{at} \quad z' = 0$$

$$w' = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial z'} = 0, \quad \phi' = \phi'_0 \quad \text{at} \quad z' = d.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$w' = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial z'} = 0, \quad \phi' = \phi'_0 \quad \text{at} \quad z' = d.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

A derivation of the hydrodynamic boundary conditions can be found in [23], for example. The validity of the boundary conditions of nanoparticle volume fractions (6) and (7) are discussed in [7].

We introduce the dimensionless variables as follows:

$$q = q^d / \alpha_f, \quad p = p^d / \mu \alpha_f,$$

$$\phi = (\phi' - \phi'_0) / (\phi'_0 - \phi'_0), \quad T = (T' - T'_0) / (T'_0 - T'_0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Then Eqs. (1)~(4) take the form:

$$\nabla \cdot q = 0,$$

$$\frac{1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}}{Pr} \left[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + Vq \nabla q \right] + Vp + R_b k - R_b T k + R_b \phi k,$$

$$= \frac{1 + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}}{Pr} \nabla^2 q.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\frac{dT}{dz} + q \nabla V T = V T + \frac{N_b}{Le} \nabla \phi \nabla V T + \frac{N_b N_s}{Le} \nabla V \nabla T,$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + q \nabla \phi = \frac{1}{Le} \nabla^2 \phi + \frac{N_b}{Le} V T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$\frac{\partial T}{dz} + q \nabla V T = V T + \frac{N_b}{Le} \nabla \phi \nabla V T + \frac{N_b N_s}{Le} \nabla V \nabla T,$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + q \nabla \phi = \frac{1}{Le} \nabla^2 \phi + \frac{N_b}{Le} V T,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

with the dimensionless boundary conditions of

$$w = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \quad T = 1, \quad \phi = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0$$

$$w = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \quad T = 0, \quad \phi = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$w = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \quad T = 0, \quad \phi = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where the nondimensional parameters are

Darcy-Prandtl number, $Pr = \frac{\mu}{\rho \alpha_f},$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Lewis number, $Le = \frac{\alpha_f}{D_b},$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

and so

thermal Darcy-Rayleigh number, $Ra = \frac{D_b \rho g \beta d^4 (T_0' - T'_0)}{\mu \alpha_f},$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

basic density Rayleigh number, $R_\rho = \frac{D_b \rho g (\phi'_0 - 1)}{\mu \alpha_f},$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

concentration Rayleigh number, $R_s = \frac{(\rho_s - \rho_s)(\phi'_0 - \phi'_0)}{\mu \alpha_f},$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Deborah number, $\lambda = \frac{\tau_2 \alpha_f}{d^2},$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

retardation parameter, $\lambda_2 = \frac{\tau_2 \alpha_f}{d^2},$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

modified diffusivity ratio, $N_b = \frac{D_b (T_0' - T'_0)}{D_a (\phi'_0 - \phi'_0)}$ and

modified particle-density increment; $N_s = \frac{(\rho_c)_{\phi'_0}}{(\rho_c)_{\phi'_0}}.$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

In the spirit of the Oberbeck-Boussinesq approximation, Eq. (11) was linearized by neglecting a term proportional to the product of $\phi$ and $T$. This is valid in the case of small temperature gradients in a dilute suspension of nanoparticles.

Basic solutions

The basic state was assumed to be quiescent and is given by

$$u = v = w = 0, \quad T = T_b(z), \quad \phi = \phi_b(z), \quad p = p_b(z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The basic states of the temperature and nanoparticle volume fraction satisfy the equations

$$\frac{d^2 T_b}{dz^2} + \frac{N_s}{Le} \frac{d T_b}{dz} + \frac{N_b N_s}{Le} \frac{d T_b}{dz} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 \phi_b}{dz^2} + \frac{N_s}{Le} \frac{d T_b}{dz} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

(26)

Using boundary conditions in Eqs. (13) and (14), Eq. (26) can be integrated to give

$$\phi_b = -N_s T_b + (1 - N_s)z + N_s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Substituting this into Eq. (25) gives

$$\frac{d^2 T_b}{dz^2} + \frac{(1 - N_s)N_s}{Le} \frac{d T_b}{dz} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The solution of Eq. (28) satisfying boundary conditions Eq. (13) and (14) is

$$T_b = 1 - e^{-N_s z} \frac{(1 - N_s)N_s}{1 - e^{-N_s z} \phi_b}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

The basic solution of $\phi_b$ can easily be obtained by substituting this into Eq. (26). According to Buongiorno [4], Nield and Kuznetsov [7,8] discussed the exponents in Eq. (29) and found that they are small. Hence, to a good approximation, one has

$$T_b = 1 - z$$

and so

$$\phi_b = z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)
Perturbed state

To study the stability of the system, we superimpose infinitesimal perturbations onto the basic state, which are of the forms

\[ q = q_0 + \epsilon q', T = T_0 + \epsilon T', \phi = \phi_0 + \epsilon \phi', p = p_0 + \epsilon p'. \]

Using Eq. (32) in Eqs. (9)–(12) and the basic state solutions, and neglecting the nonlinear terms, we obtain the linearized equations governing infinitesimal perturbations in the form:

\[ \nabla \cdot \dot{q}' = 0, \]

\[ \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t} - w' = \nabla^2 T' + \frac{N_s}{Le} \left( \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} + w' = \frac{1}{Le} \nabla^2 \phi' + \frac{N_s}{Le} \nabla^2 T'. \]

Eliminating \( p' \) by operating a curl twice on it, one has

\[ \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{1}{Pr} \frac{\partial q'}{\partial t} + \nabla^2 T' + Ra \phi' k + R_a \phi' k \right) = \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \nabla^2 q', \]

\[ \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t} - w' = \nabla^2 T' + \frac{N_s}{Le} \left( \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right), \quad \text{and} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} + w' = \frac{1}{Le} \nabla^2 \phi' + \frac{N_s}{Le} \nabla^2 T'. \]

where \( \nabla^2 = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2 \) is the two-dimensional Laplacian operator on the horizontal plane. The boundary conditions for the infinitesimal perturbations are given by

\[ w' = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w'}{\partial z} = 0, \quad T' = 0, \quad \phi' = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0 \quad \text{and} \]

\[ w' = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w'}{\partial z} = 0, \quad T' = 0, \quad \phi' = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 1. \]

III. LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS

The differential Eqs. (35)–(37) and boundary conditions (38) and (39) constitute a linear boundary-value problem that can be solved using the method of normal modes in the form

\[ \begin{align*}
   w' &= \begin{pmatrix} W(z) \\ \Theta(z) \end{pmatrix} \exp(i(lx + my) + \alpha \ell), \\
   T' &= \begin{pmatrix} \Theta(z) \end{pmatrix} \exp(i(lx + my) + \alpha \ell),
\end{align*} \]

where \( l \) and \( m \) are the wavenumber in the \( x \) - and \( y \) -directions and \( \alpha \) is the growth rate. Substituting Eq. (40) into Eqs. 35–37, one has

\[ \begin{align*}
   \left( 1 + \lambda \alpha \right) \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( D^2 - a^2 \right) W + Ra \phi' \Theta - Ra \phi' \Phi \right] &= \left( 1 + \lambda \alpha \right) \left( D^2 - a^2 \right) W, \\
   W &= \left( -D^2 - \frac{N_s}{Le} D + \frac{2N_s^2}{Le} D - a^2 - \alpha \right) \Theta + \frac{N_s}{Le} \Phi = 0, \quad \text{and} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
   W &= \Theta (D^2 - a^2) - \left( \frac{1}{Le} (D^2 - a^2) - \alpha \right) \Phi = 0, \quad \text{with boundary conditions} \]

\[ W = 0, D^2 W = 0, \Theta = 0, \Phi = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0 \quad \text{and} \]

\[ W = 0, D^2 W = 0, \Theta = 0, \Phi = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = 1; \]

where \( a^2 = l^2 + m^2 \) is the horizontal wavenumber and \( D = d / dz \).

We assume the solution to \( W, \Theta \) and \( \Phi \) is in the form

\[ \begin{align*}
   W &= W_s \sin \pi z, \quad \Theta = \Theta_s \sin \pi z, \quad \Phi = \Phi_s \sin \pi z, \quad \text{which satisfy boundary conditions (44) and (45).} \quad \text{Substituting Eq. (46) into Eqs. (41)–(43), multiplying the resulting equations by } \sin \pi z, \text{ and integrating each equation from } z = 0 \text{ to } z = 1 \text{ and performing some integration by parts, one obtains the following matrix equation:} \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & -Ra \delta^2 & Ra \delta^2 \\
   -1 & \delta^2 + \alpha & 0 \\
   1 & N_s Le^{-1} \delta^2 & Le^{-1} \delta^2 + \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_s \\ \Theta_s \\ \Phi_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( \delta^2 = \pi^2 + a^2 \) is the total wavenumber, and

\[ M_{11} = (\alpha / Pr + (1 + \lambda \omega) / (1 + \lambda \omega) \delta^2) \delta^2. \]

The nontrivial solution of the above matrix requires that

\[ Ra = \left( \frac{\alpha + \delta^2}{a^2} \right) \left( \frac{\omega}{Pr} + \frac{1 + \lambda \omega}{1 + \lambda \omega} \right) \delta^2, \]

Setting \( \omega = i \omega_0 \) in Eq. (49) and clearing the complex quantities from the denominator, one obtains

\[ Ra = \Delta_1 + i \omega_0 \Delta_2; \]

where

\[ \Delta_1 = \frac{\delta^2}{a^2} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda \omega_0^2}{1 + \lambda \omega_0^2} \right) \left( \frac{1 + \lambda \omega_0}{1 + \lambda \omega_0} \right), \quad \text{and} \]

\[ \Delta_2 = \frac{\delta^2}{a^2} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda \omega_0}{1 + \lambda \omega_0} \right) + \frac{\delta^2 (1 + \lambda \omega_0)}{1 + \lambda \omega_0} \]

Since \( Ra \) is a physical quantity, it must be a real value. Hence, it follows from Eq. (50) that either \( \omega_0 = 0 \) (exchange stability, steady onset) or \( \Delta_2 = 0 \) (\( \omega_0 \neq 0 \) overstability, oscillatory onset).

Stationary convection

Steady onset corresponds to \( \omega_0 = 0 \), and steady convection occurs at

\[ Ra^s = \frac{\delta^2}{a^2} (N_s + Le) R_s. \]

The critical wave number obtained by minimizing \( Ra^s \) with respect to \( \omega \), i.e., satisfying \( \partial Ra^s / \partial \omega = 0 \), is

\[ \omega_0 = \pi / \sqrt{2}. \]

The corresponding critical thermal Rayleigh number for steady onset is
\[ Ra_{\text{osc}}^{\text{Osc}} = \frac{2\pi^4}{4} - (N_\lambda + Le) R_{\text{a}} . \] (55)

It should be noted that the expression for \( Ra_{\text{osc}}^{\text{Osc}} \) is independent of viscoelastic parameters and coincides with those of a Newtonian nanofluid problem.

**Oscillatory convection**

For oscillatory onset, \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) and \( \omega_i \neq 0 \), which gives a dispersion relation of the form

\[ a_i(\omega_i^2)^2 + a_i(\omega_i^2) + a_0 = 0 ; \] (56)

where

\[ a_i = \lambda_i(\lambda_i + \lambda_P + \lambda_0)\delta^2, \] (57)

\[ a_2 = \delta^2[\Pr(1 + \lambda_i\lambda_P\Le^{-2}\delta^6) + (1 + \lambda_i^2\Le^{-2}\delta^6) + \Pr(\lambda_i - \lambda_0)\delta^4] \]
\[ + a^2 \Pr \lambda_i^2(1 + N_\lambda \Le^{-1} - \Le^{-3}) R_n, \] and (58)

\[ a_3 = \delta^2(1 + \Pr)\Le^{-2} + \Pr(\lambda_i - \lambda_0)\Le^{-2}\delta^6 \]
\[ + a^2 \Pr (1 + N_\lambda \Le^{-1} - \Le^{-3}) R_n . \] (59)

Then Eq. (53) with \( \Delta_1 = 0 \) gives

\[ Ra_{\text{osc}}^{\text{Osc}} = \frac{\delta^2}{a_i^2} \left[ \delta^4 \left( \lambda_i + \lambda_P \right)^2 + \delta^2 \left( \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_0}{\Pr} \right) \delta^2 - \frac{\omega_i^2 + \Le^{-2}\delta^6 (1 + N_\lambda \Le^{-1})}{\omega_i^2 + \Le^{-2}\delta^4} R_n \right] . \] (60)

**IV. RESULT**

Expression of the stationary critical thermal Rayleigh number is given by Eq. (55). The expression of the oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number is obtained analytically using Eq. (60). It should be noted that parameter \( N_\lambda \) affects neither stationary nor oscillatory instability. The effect of \( N_\lambda \) on instability in Eq. (42) is the first derivative of the temperature mode which is cancelled due to integration of orthogonal functions. As a result, the contributions of Brownian motion and thermophoresis in the thermal energy equation of instability disappear. Rather, Brownian motion and thermophoresis directly enter the equation conserving the nanoparticle mass and momentum. In this way, the temperature and particle density are coupled in a particular way in which the instability is almost purely a phenomenon due to buoyancy coupled with the conservation of nanoparticle motion. It is worth discussing the limiting case, \( N_\lambda = 0 \), which indicates the absence of a thermoporetic effect. At this limit, the problem reduces to double diffusive convection instability. The resultant equations are similar to those of double diffusive convection instability in viscoelastic fluid layers [21] and viscoelastic fluid-saturated porous media [24, 25]. A careful comparison between Eqs. (53)–(60) and the corresponding equations in the work of Malashetty and Swamy [21], which examined the onset of double diffusive convection in a viscoelastic base fluid, shows that they are exactly the same if we let \( N_\lambda = 0 \) and \( R_n = -Ra_0 \), where \( Ra_0 \) is the solute Rayleigh number defined in their work.

The stationary critical thermal Rayleigh number was also found to be independent of the viscoelastic parameters and to be identical to those of a Newtonian nanofluid problem. The critical thermal Rayleigh number for oscillatory convection can be derived by numerically minimizing Eq. (60) with respect to the wavenumber, after substituting various values of physical parameters for \( \omega_i^2 \) of Eq. (56) to determine their effects on the onset of oscillatory convection. According to Buongiorno [4] and Nield and Kuznetsov [7], for most nanofluids investigated, Lewis number, \( Le \), is large of the order \( 10^2 \)–\( 10^4 \), while the modified diffusivity ratio, \( N_\lambda \), is no greater than about 10. In the following, we consider instability by taking values of \( Le \) and \( N_\lambda \) within these ranges.

![Fig. 1 Neutral curves for different values of (a) the Deborah number \((\lambda_1)\), (b) retardation parameter \((\lambda_2)\), (c) Prandtl number \((Pr)\), (d) Lewis number \((Le)\), (e) concentration Rayleigh number \((R_n)\), and (f) modified diffusivity ratio \((N_\lambda)\).](image-url)
oscillatory Rayleigh number, indicating that it delays the onset of convection in a viscoelastic nanofluid layer. The effect of the Prandtl number on the oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number is shown in Fig. 1c. One can see that the oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number decreases with the increase in the Prandtl number, indicating that the Prandtl number advances the oscillatory onset of viscoelastic nanofluids. In Fig. 1d, the effect of the Lewis number on neutral curves is shown. It should be noted that the effect of the Lewis number on the oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number is very slight, while its effect on the stationary mode is substantial. The effect of the concentration Rayleigh number is shown in Fig. 1e. It can be seen from Fig. 1e that the oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number decreases with an increase in $R_a$, which means that $R_a$ enhances oscillatory convection. Figure 1f depicts the effect of a modified diffusivity ratio, $N_a$, on the neutral curves. The modified diffusivity ratio represents the ratio of thermophoresis to Brownian diffusion of nanoparticles. It can be seen from Fig. 1f that the critical oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number decreases with an increase in $N_a$, indicating that $N_a$ advances oscillatory onset.
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Variations in the critical thermal Rayleigh number with the strain retardation parameter ($\lambda_2$) for different values of the Deborah number ($\lambda_1$) and negative values of $R_p$ and $N_a$
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Fig. 3 Variations in the critical thermal Rayleigh number with the strain retardation parameter ($\lambda_2$) for different values of the Deborah number ($\lambda_1$) and positive values of $R_p$ and $N_a$

represents a top-heavy nanoparticle distribution. For each $\lambda_1$, it is indicated in Fig. 3 that a critical strain retardation parameter (say $\lambda_2^c$) exists which divides the boundary of regimes between oscillatory and stationary convection. Initially convection begins in the form of the oscillatory mode. As the value of $\lambda_2$ reaches $\lambda_2^c$, convection ceases to be oscillatory, and stationary convection occurs as the first bifurcation. The value of $\lambda_2^c$ for each case depends on $\lambda_1$ and the other parameters. As shown in Eq. (55), the critical stationary thermal Rayleigh number, $Ra_s^c$, is independent of the viscoelasticity parameters. Hence, as the value of $\lambda_1$ exceeds $\lambda_1^c$, the curve is horizontal, and the critical thermal Rayleigh number is a constant value, which depends on $N_a, Le$, and $R_a$.
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Fig. 4 Variations in the critical thermal Rayleigh number with the strain retardation parameter ($\lambda_2$) for different values of the Prandtl number $Pr=1, 2, 5, 10$.

For a typical viscoelastic nanofluid (with a large Lewis number), the oscillatory mode always sets in before the stationary mode for a bottom-heavy nanoparticle distribution. Note also that for a Oldroyd B type of viscoelastic fluid, values of the relaxation time and retardation time satisfy $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$. As a result, for a typical viscoelastic nanofluid (with a large Lewis number), the oscillatory mode always sets in before the stationary mode for a bottom-heavy nanoparticle distribution at the given parameters values. Figure 3 shows the effect of the Deborah number on the critical thermal Rayleigh number for positive values of $R_p$ and $N_a$. Note that a positive value of $R_p$
Figure 4 shows the effect of the Prandtl number on the critical thermal Rayleigh number and \( \lambda_2 \) for fixed values of other parameters. It was found that \( \lambda_2 \) increases with the Prandtl number, indicating an increase in the region of the oscillatory mode. The critical oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number, \( Ra_{osc} \), decreases with an increase in the Prandtl number, revealing that the oscillatory mode is more unstable as the Prandtl number increases. As discussed in Fig. 1d, the effect of the Lewis number on the critical oscillatory thermal Rayleigh number is very slight. However, the Lewis number does affect the critical stationary thermal Rayleigh number and \( \lambda_2 \). The effects of the Lewis number on the critical thermal Rayleigh number and \( \lambda_2 \) for positive \( R_n \) and various values of \( \lambda_1 \) are shown in Figure 5.

An increase in the Lewis number decreases \( \lambda_2 \) and contracts the region of the oscillatory mode. In the oscillatory region, the critical thermal Rayleigh number depends only on \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), as the other parameters are specified. However, in the stationary region, the critical Rayleigh number decreases with an increase in the Lewis number when values of \( R_n \) are positive.

Figure 6 shows the effect of the concentration Rayleigh number, \( R_n \), on the critical thermal Rayleigh number for fixed values of parameters. The critical stationary thermal Rayleigh number, \( Ra_{st} \), decreases with an increase in \( R_n \). The effect of decreasing \( R_n \) is to stabilize the stationary mode. Although \( R_n \) significantly affects the stationary mode, its influence on the oscillatory mode is very slight for a typical viscoelastic nanofluid with a large Lewis number. However, \( \lambda_2 \) decreases with an increase in the \( R_n \), which implies that an increasing \( R_n \) will reduce the region of oscillatory instability. Note that a negative value of the \( R_n \) indicates a bottom-heavy nanoparticle distribution. For Newtonian nanofluids, it was found that oscillatory instability is possible only in the case of a bottom-heavy nanoparticle distribution. In Figure 6, cases with positive values of \( R_n \) correspond to top-heavy nanoparticle distributions. It can clearly be seen that there are regions of oscillatory instability in these cases. Figure 6 reveals that oscillatory instabilities are possible in both top- and bottom-heavy nanoparticle distributions of viscoelastic nanofluids.
A, on the critical thermal Rayleigh number for both top2 and decreases for top2heavy distributions, while it increases for bottom2heavy distributions. This indicates that the effect of increasing with increasing values of \(N_a\) on the oscillatory mode is very small. For top2heavy distributions, \(\lambda_2^C\) decreases with an increase in \(N_a\).

V. CONCLUSIONS

The onset of convection in a viscoelastic nanofluid layer was studied using a linear instability analysis employing a model that incorporates the effects of Brownian motion, thermophoresis, and viscoelasticity. The onset criterion for stationary and oscillatory convection was derived analytically. Oscillatory instability is possible in both bottom- and top-heavy nanoparticle distributions. For a typical viscoelastic nanofluid with a large Lewis number, results indicated the dependence of \(Ra_{osc}^C\) on \(Le, R_a,\) and \(N_a\) is very slight. However, \(Le, R_a,\) and \(N_a\) do affect the region of the oscillatory mode and \(Ra_{osc}^C\).
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