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Abstract—This paper studies the problem of exponential stability analysis for recurrent neural networks with time-varying delay. By establishing a suitable augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii function and a novel sufficient condition is obtained to guarantee the exponential stability of the considered system. In order to get a less conservative result, the condition, zero equalities and reciprocally convex approach are employed. The several exponential stability criterion proposed in this paper is simpler and effective. A numerical example is provided to demonstrate the feasibility and effectiveness of our results.
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I. INTRODUCTION

RECURRENT neural networks including Hopfield neural networks (HNNs) and cellular neural networks (CNNs) have been studied extensively over the recent decades [1-14] and have been widely applied in various engineering fields such as neuro-biology, population dynamics, and computing technology. Although neural networks can be implemented by very large scale integrated circuits, there inevitably exist some delays in neural networks due to the limitation of the speed of transmission and switching of signals. It is well known that time-delay is usually a cause of instability and oscillations of recurrent neural networks. Therefore, the problem of stability of recurrent neural networks with time delay is of importance in both theory and practice.

The problem of global exponential stability analysis for delay neural network has been studied by many investigators in the past years. In [6], some sufficient conditions are obtained for existence and global exponential stability of a unique equilibrium point of competitive neural networks. In [11], the authors discussed the exponential stabilization of recurrent neural networks with time-varying and distributed delays. A control law was obtained by means of linear matrix inequality. By constructing a new Lyapunov functional and using a S-procedure, both delay-dependent and delay-independent stability conditions were developed for static recurrent neural networks with interval time-varying delays in [15].

Motivated by these observations, it is of great importance to further investigate the stabilization problem of delayed neural networks by making use of the delay interval of neurons. In this paper, our attention focuses on the exponential stabilization problem of a class of recurrent neural networks with time delay. By choosing a new Lyapunov functional which fractions delay interval and employing different free-weighting matrices in the upper bounds of integral terms to guarantee the stability of the delayed neural networks. It is shown that this obtained conditions have less conservatism. Finally, two numerical examples are given to show the usefulness of the proposed criteria.

notation: Throughout this paper, the superscripts ‘ − 1’ and ‘T’ stand for the inverse and transpose of a matrix, respectively. $\mathbb{R}^n$ denotes an n-dimensional Euclidean space; $\mathbb{R}^m \times n$ is the set of all $m \times n$ real matrices; $P > 0$ means that the matrix $P$ is symmetric positive definite, $\text{diag}(\cdot ,\cdots ,\cdot )$ denotes a block diagonal matrix. In block symmetric matrix or long matrix expression, we use (∗) to represent a term that is induced by symmetry. $I$ is an appropriately dimensional identity matrix.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider the following recurrent neural networks with time-varying delays:

$$\dot{z}(t) = - Cz(t) + Ag(z(t)) + Bg(z(t - \tau(t))) + \mu$$

$$z(t) = \phi(t), t \in [-\tau, 0]$$

where $z(t) = [z_1(t), z_2(t), \cdots , z_n(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is neuron vector $g(z(t)) = [g_1(z_1(t)), g_2(z_2(t)), \cdots , g_n(z_n(t))]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the neuron activation function, $C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \cdots , c_n) > 0$ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are the connection weight matrices and the delayed connection weight matrices, respectively, $\mu = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots , \mu_n]^T$ is constant input vector and $\tau(t)$ is a continuous time-varying function which satisfies

$$0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau, \dot{\tau}(t) \leq u$$

where $\tau$ and $u$ are constants.

The following assumption is made in this paper.

Assumption I. The neuron activation functions $g_i(t)$ in (1) are bounded and satisfy

$$\gamma^- \leq g_i(x) - g_i(y) \leq \gamma^+, x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y, i = 1, 2, \cdots , n$$

Where $\gamma^-, \gamma^+(i = 1, 2, \cdots , n)$ are positive constants.

Assumption I guarantees the existence of an equilibrium point of system (1) [13]. Denote that $z^* = [z^*_1, z^*_2, \cdots , z^*_n]^T$ is the
equilibrium point. Using the transformation \( x(\cdot) = z(\cdot) - z^* \) system (1) can be converted to the following error system:

\[
\dot{x}(t) = -Cx(t) + Af(x(t)) + Bf(x(t) - \tau(t))
\]

where \( x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the neuron vector, \( f(x(t)) = [f_1(x_1(t)), f_2(x_2(t)), \ldots, f_n(x_n(t))]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \) denotes the neuron activation function.

Let \( f_i(x(t)) = g_i(z_i(\cdot)) - g_i(z_0^i), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), we can get

\[
\gamma_i \leq \frac{\dot{f_i}(x_i(t))}{x_i(t)} \leq \gamma_i^+, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n
\]

Definition 1. The equilibrium point of system (5) is said to be globally exponentially stable, if there exist scalars \( \kappa \geq 0 \) and \( \beta > 0 \) such that

\[
\|x(t)\| \leq \beta e^{-\kappa t} \sup_{\tau \leq t \geq 0} \|\phi(s) - z^*\|, \forall t > 0.
\]

Lemma 1 [14]. For any constant matrix \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) such that the following integrations are well defined, then

\[
(h_2 - h_1) \int_{h_2}^{h_1} x^T(s)Xx(s)ds \geq \int_{h_2}^{h_1} x^T(s)ds Z \int_{h_2}^{h_1} x(s)ds
\]

Lemma 2 [17]. For all real vectors \( a, b \) and matrix \( Q > 0 \) with appropriate dimensions, if:

\[
2a^Tb \leq a^TQa + b^TQ^{-1}b
\]

Lemma 3. By (6) the following inequalities hold

\[
0 \leq \int_0^{x_i(t)} [f_i(s) - \gamma_i s]ds \leq [f_i(x_i(t)) - \gamma_i x_i(t)]x_i(t)
\]

(10)

\[
0 \leq \int_0^{x_i(t)} [\gamma_i s - f_i(s)]ds \leq [\gamma_i x_i(t) + f_i(x_i(t))]x_i(t)
\]

(11)

Proof: Let \( F_i(s) = f_i(s) - \gamma_i s \), we have

\[
\frac{F_i(s)}{s} = \frac{f_i(s)}{s} - \gamma_i \geq 0
\]

Therefore,

\[
\int_0^{x_i(t)} [f_i(s) - \gamma_i s]ds \geq 0
\]

By Assumption 1

\[
D^+ F_i(s) = \lim_{\psi \to 0^+} \frac{F_i(s + \psi) - F_i(s)}{\psi} = \lim_{\psi \to 0^+} \frac{f_i(s + \psi) - \gamma_i (s + \psi) - f_i(s) + \gamma_i s}{\psi}
\]

\[
= \lim_{\psi \to 0^+} \left( \frac{f_i(s + \psi) - f_i(s)}{\psi} - \gamma_i \right) \geq 0
\]

then function \( F_i(s) \) is a monotone nondecreasing, we have the following inequality

\[
0 \leq \int_0^{x_i(t)} [f_i(s) - \gamma_i s]ds \leq [f_i(x_i(t)) - \gamma_i x_i(t)]x_i(t)
\]

(11) is similar to proof (10) and is omitted here.

III. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we propose a new exponential criterion for the neural networks with time-varying delays system. Now, we have the following main results.

**Theorem 1.** For given scalars \( \Gamma_1 = \text{diag}(\gamma_1^1, \gamma_2^1, \ldots, \gamma_n^1) \), \( \Gamma_2 = \text{diag}(\gamma_1^2, \gamma_2^2, \ldots, \gamma_n^2) \), \( \bar{\nu} \leq 1 \), the system (5) is globally exponentially stable with the exponential convergence rate index \( k \) if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices

\[
P, Q_i(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4), R_i(i = 1, 2, 3), S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} \\ S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} \end{bmatrix}
\]

positive diagonal matrices \( M = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m) \), \( \Delta = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n) \), \( M_1, M_2 \), such that the following LMIs hold:

\[
E = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} & e_{13} & e_{14} & e_{15} & S_{13} & 0 \\ e_{22} & e_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & e_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & e_{44} & e_{45} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & e_{55} & e_{56} & e_{57} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & e_{66} & e_{67} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & e_{77} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0
\]

(12)

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} & e_{13} & 0 & f_{15} & S_{13} & 0 \\ e_{22} & e_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & e_{33} & e_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & f_{44} & f_{45} & f_{46} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & f_{55} & f_{56} & e_{57} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & f_{66} & e_{67} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & e_{77} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0
\]

(13)

\[
G = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} & e_{13} & 0 & 0 & f_{15} & S_{13} & 0 \\ e_{22} & e_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & e_{33} & e_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & g_{44} & g_{45} & g_{46} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & g_{55} & g_{56} & g_{57} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & g_{66} & g_{67} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & e_{77} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0
\]

(14)

where

\[
e_{11} = 2kP - PC - CP - 4k\Gamma_1 \Lambda - C(\Gamma_2 \Delta - \Gamma_1 \Lambda) + \left(\frac{\tau}{3}\right)^2C(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)C - 2\Gamma_1 M_1 \Gamma_2 - (\Gamma_2 \Delta - \Gamma_1 \Lambda)C
\]

\[
\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{4} Q_i + S_{11} - e^{-\frac{2k}{\tau}R_3 + 4k\Gamma_2 \Delta}
\]

\[
e_{12} = PA + 2k\Lambda - 2k\Lambda - (\Lambda - \Delta)C + (\Gamma_2 \Delta - \Gamma_1 \Lambda)A
\]

\[
\quad - \left(\frac{\tau}{3}\right)^2C(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)C + M_1 (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)
\]

\[
e_{13} = PB + (\Gamma_2 \Delta - \Gamma_1 \Lambda)B - \left(\frac{\tau}{3}\right)^2C(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)B,
\]

\[
e_{14} = 3/2e^{-\frac{2k}{\tau}R_1 + 15} \leq 0
\]

\[
e_{22} = (\Lambda - \Delta)A + A^T(\Lambda - \Delta) + \left(\frac{\tau}{3}\right)^2A^T(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)A
\]

\[- 2M_1,
\]

\[
e_{23} = (\Lambda - \Delta)B + \left(\frac{\tau}{3}\right)^2A^T(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)B,
\]

\[
e_{33} = \left(\frac{\tau}{3}\right)^2B^T(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)B - 2M_2,
\]

\[
e_{34} = M_2(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2), e_{45} = 2e^{-\frac{2k}{\tau}R_3},
\]
\[ e_{41} = -e^{-2k\tau}(1 - u)Q_1 - 3e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}R_3 - 2\Gamma_1M_2\Gamma_2, \]
\[ e_{55} = -e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}Q_2 + S_{22} - e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}S_{11} - e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}R_3, \]
\[ -e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}R_2, e_{57} = -e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}S_{13}, \]
\[ e_{56} = S_{23} - e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}S_{11} + e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}R_2, \]
\[ e_{66} = -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}Q_3 + S_{33} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_3, \]
\[ -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_1 - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}S_{22}, e_{67} = -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}S_{23} + e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_1, \]
\[ e_{77} = -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}Q_4 - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}S_{33} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_1, \]
\[ f_{15} = S_{12} + e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}R_3, f_{45} = e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau} \times \frac{3}{2}R_2, \]
\[ f_{44} = -e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau}(1 - u)Q_1 - 3e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}2R_2 - 2\Gamma_1M_2\Gamma_2, f_{46} = e^{-\frac{2}{3}k\tau} \times 2R_2, \]
\[ f_{55} = -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}Q_2 + S_{22} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}S_{11} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_3, \]
\[ -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_2, f_{56} = S_{23} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}S_{11}, \]
\[ f_{66} = -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}Q_3 + S_{33} - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_3, -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_1 - e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}S_{22}, \]
\[ g_{46} = e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau} \times \frac{3}{2}R_1, g_{47} = 2e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_1, \]
\[ g_{44} = -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}(1 - u)Q_1 - 3e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}R_1 - 2\Gamma_1M_2\Gamma_2, \]
\[ g_{67} = -e^{-\frac{1}{3}k\tau}S_{23}, \]

**Proof:** Construct a Lyapunov-Krasovskii function as follows:

\[ V(x_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} V_i(x_t) \]

where

\[ V_1(x_t) = e^{2kt}x_T(t)P_x(t) \]
\[ V_2(x_t) = 2e^{2kt}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x_2(t)} \lambda_i(f_i(s) - \gamma_i - s)ds \]
\[ + \int_{0}^{x_1(t)} \delta_i(\gamma_i - s - f_i(s))ds \]
\[ V_3(x_t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)Q_1x(s)ds \]
\[ + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)Q_2x(s)ds \]
\[ + \int_{t-\frac{3}{2}\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)Q_3x(s)ds \]
\[ + \int_{t-\frac{3}{2}\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)Q_4x(s)ds \]
\[ V_4(x_t) = \int_{t-\frac{3}{2}\tau}^{t} \left[ \frac{x(s)}{x(s-\frac{3}{2}\tau)} \right]^T S \left[ \frac{x(s)}{x(s-\frac{3}{2}\tau)} \right] ds \]

\[ \dot{V}_2(x_t) = e^{2kt} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right]^T S \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right] \]
\[ - e^{2k(t-\tau)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right]^T \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right] \]
\[ - e^{2k(t-\tau)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right]^T S \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right] \]
\[ V_5(x_t) = \frac{T}{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)R_1x(s)ds \]
\[ - \frac{T}{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)R_2x(s)ds \]
\[ - \frac{T}{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)R_3x(s)ds \]

The time derivative of \( V(x_t) \) along the trajectory of system (5) is given by

\[ \dot{V}(x_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \dot{V}_i(x_t) \]

where

\[ \dot{V}_1(x_t) = 2ke^{2kt}x_T(t)P_x(t) + 2e^{2kt}x_T(t)P\dot{x}(t) \]

\[ \dot{V}_2(x_t) = 4ke^{2kt}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(f_i(s) - \gamma_i - s)ds \]
\[ + \int_{0}^{x_1(t)} \delta_i(\gamma_i - s - f_i(s))ds + 2e^{2kt}[(f^T(x(t)) \]
\[ - x^T(t)\Gamma_1\Delta t(t) + (x^T(t)\Gamma_2 - f^T(x(t)))\Delta \dot{x}(t)]] \]
\[ \leq 4ke^{2kt}[(f^T(x(t)) - x^T(t)\Gamma_1)\Delta \dot{x}(t) + (x^T(t)\Gamma_2 - f^T(x(t)))\Delta \dot{x}(t)) + 2e^{2kt}\Gamma_1^T(f^T(x(t))(\Lambda - \Delta) \]
\[ + x^T(t)(\Gamma_2\Delta - \Gamma_1\Lambda)\dot{x}(t) \]

\[ \dot{V}_3(x_t) \leq e^{2kt}x_T(t)(\sum_{i=1}^{4} Q_i)x(t) \]
\[ - e^{2k(t-\tau)}(1 - u)x_T(t - \tau(t))Q_1x(t - \tau(t)) \]
\[ - e^{2k(t-\tau)}x_T(t - \frac{3}{2}\tau)Q_2x(t - \frac{3}{2}\tau) \]
\[ - e^{2k(t-\tau)}x_T(t - \frac{3}{2}\tau)Q_3x(t - \frac{3}{2}\tau) \]

\[ \dot{V}_4(x_t) = e^{2kT} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right]^T S \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right] \]

\[ - e^{2k(t-\tau)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right]^T S \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ x(t-\frac{3}{2}\tau) \end{array} \right] \]

\[ \dot{V}_5(x_t) = \frac{T}{3}e^{2ks}x_T(t)(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)x(t) \]
\[ - \frac{T}{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)R_1x(s)ds \]
\[ - \frac{T}{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)R_2x(s)ds \]
\[ - \frac{T}{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{2ks}x_T(s)R_3x(s)ds \]
(1) When $0 \leq \tau (t) \leq \frac{7}{3}$. Based on the bounds lemma of [16], we have

$$\frac{-\tau}{3} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} e^{2ks_2 xT(s)} R_2 \dot{x}(s) ds \leq e^{2k(t - \frac{\tau}{3})} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t - \tau (t)) \\ x(t - \frac{\tau}{3}) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} -R_3 & 2R_3 & 0 \\ R_3 & -3R_3 & 2R_3 \\ 0 & 2R_3 & -R_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t - \tau (t)) \\ x(t - \frac{\tau}{3}) \end{bmatrix}$$

(20)

In order to derive less conservative results, by (6) we add the following inequalities with positive diagonal matrices $M_1, M_2$

$$e^{kt}\left[-2f^T(x(t))M_1 f(x(t)) + 2x^T(t)M_1(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)f(x(t)) - 2x^T(t)\Gamma_1 M_1 \Gamma_2 x(t)\right] \geq 0$$

(21)

(1) When $0 \leq \tau (t) \leq \frac{7}{3}$. According to (12), from (15) - (22), (29) - (30), then one can obtain

$$\dot{V}(x_0) \leq e^{kt}\left[\xi^T(t)E\xi(t)\right] \leq 0$$

(31)

where

$$\xi^T(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x^T(t) \\ f^T(x(t)) \\ f^T(x(t - \tau (t))) \end{bmatrix}, x^T(t - \tau (t)), x^T\left(t - \frac{7}{3}\right), x^T\left(t - \frac{7}{3}\right)$$

(22)

Therefore

$$V(x_0) \leq V(x_0)$$

(23)

On the other hand,

$$V_3(x_0) \leq \lambda_{max}(P)\|x(0)\|^2 \leq \lambda_{max}(P) \sup_{-\tau \leq t \leq 0} \|x(s)\|^2$$

(25)

$$V_3(x_0) \leq \frac{\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_2) + \frac{2\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_5) + \tau\lambda_{max}(Q_3) + \frac{\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_5) + \lambda_{max}(\Delta)$$

(35)

$$\dot{V}_4(x_0) \leq \frac{\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_1) + \frac{2\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_2) + \tau\lambda_{max}(Q_3) + \frac{\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_5) + \lambda_{max}(\Delta)$$

(36)

$$\dot{V}_4(x_0) \leq \frac{\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_1) + \frac{2\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_2) + \tau\lambda_{max}(Q_3) + \frac{\tau}{3}\lambda_{max}(Q_5) + \lambda_{max}(\Delta)$$

(37)
\[ \begin{align*}
\leq & \int_{-\tau}^{0} \left[ x^T(s)S_{11}x(s) + 2x^T(s)S_{12}x(s - \frac{\tau}{3}) 
+ 2x^T(s)S_{13}x(s - \frac{2\tau}{3}) + x^T(s - \frac{\tau}{3})S_{22}x(s - \frac{\tau}{3}) \right] ds \\
+ & \int_{-\tau}^{0} \left[ 2x^T(s)S_{23}x(s - \frac{2\tau}{3}) + x^T(s - \frac{2\tau}{3})S_{33} \right] ds \\
\times & \left( x(s - \frac{2\tau}{3}) \right) ds.
\end{align*} \]

According to Lemma 3,

\[ V_4(x_0) \leq \frac{\tau}{3}[\lambda_{\max}(S_{11}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{12}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{13})][x(s)]^2 \]

\[ + \frac{\tau}{3}[\lambda_{\max}(S_{12}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{13}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{22})] \times \|x(s - \frac{\tau}{3})\|^2 + \frac{\tau}{3}[\lambda_{\max}(S_{22}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{23})] \times \|x(s - \frac{2\tau}{3})\|^2 \]

\[ \leq \lambda_{\max}(S_{11}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{12}) + 2\lambda_{\max}(S_{13}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{22}) + \lambda_{\max}(S_{23}) \times \sup_{-\tau \leq s \leq 0} \|x(s)\|^2 \\
\leq \tau^3[\lambda_{\max}(R_1) \times \frac{5}{18} + \lambda_{\max}(R_2) \times \frac{1}{6} + \lambda_{\max}(R_3) \times \frac{1}{18} \lambda_{\max}(C^T C) + \gamma^2 \lambda_{\max}(A^T A) + \gamma^2 \lambda_{\max}(B^T B)] \times \sup_{-\tau \leq s \leq 0} \|x(s)\|^2
\]

Thus, according to definition 1, the system (5) is exponentially stable. The proof is completed.

**Corollary 1.** For given scalars \( \Gamma_1 = \text{diag}(\gamma_1^\top, \gamma_2^\top, \cdots, \gamma_m^\top) \), \( \Gamma_2 = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_m) \), \( \tau \leq 1 \), the system (5) is globally exponentially stable with the exponential convergence rate index \( \kappa \) if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices \( P, Q, i \in\{2, 3, 4\} \), and \( S \) such that the following LMIs hold:

\[ E = \begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_{11} & \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} & \epsilon_{14} & \epsilon_{15} & S_{11} & 0 \\
* & \epsilon_{22} & \epsilon_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & \epsilon_{33} & \epsilon_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & \epsilon_{44} & \epsilon_{45} & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & * & \epsilon_{55} & \epsilon_{56} & \epsilon_{57} \\
* & * & * & * & * & \epsilon_{66} & \epsilon_{67} \\
* & * & * & * & * & * & \epsilon_{77}
\end{bmatrix} \leq 0
\]

\[ F = \begin{bmatrix}
f_{11} & \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} & 0 & f_{15} & S_{13} & 0 \\
* & \epsilon_{22} & \epsilon_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & \epsilon_{33} & \epsilon_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & f_{44} & f_{45} & f_{46} & 0 \\
* & * & * & * & f_{55} & f_{56} & f_{57} \\
* & * & * & * & * & \epsilon_{66} & \epsilon_{67} \\
* & * & * & * & * & * & \epsilon_{77}
\end{bmatrix} \leq 0
\]

\[ G = \begin{bmatrix}
f_{11} & \epsilon_{12} & \epsilon_{13} & 0 & f_{15} & S_{13} & 0 \\
* & \epsilon_{22} & \epsilon_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & \epsilon_{33} & \epsilon_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & g_{44} & 0 & g_{46} & g_{47} \\
* & * & * & * & \epsilon_{55} & \epsilon_{56} & \epsilon_{57} \\
* & * & * & * & * & \epsilon_{66} & \epsilon_{67} \\
* & * & * & * & * & * & \epsilon_{77}
\end{bmatrix} \leq 0
\]

where

\[ e_{11} = 2kP - PC + CP - 4k\Gamma_1 A - C(\Gamma_2 A - \Gamma_1 A) \]

\[ + (\frac{\tau}{3})^2 C(\Gamma_2 R_2 + R_3)C - (\Gamma_2 A - \Gamma_1 A)C \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{4} Q_i + S_{11} - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}\tau} R_3 + 4k\Gamma_2 A - 2\Gamma_1 M_2 \Gamma_2 \]

\[ e_{12} = 2PA + 2kA - 2\Delta - (\Lambda - \Delta)C + (\Gamma_2 A - \Gamma_1 A)A \]

\[ - (\frac{\tau}{3})^2 C(\Gamma_2 R_2 + R_3)A + M_1 (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2) \]

\[ e_{13} = PB + (\Gamma_3 A - \Gamma_1 A)B - (\frac{\tau}{3})^2 C(\Gamma_1 R_2 + R_3)B \]

\[ e_{14} = 3/2e^{-\frac{2k}{3}\tau} R_3, \quad e_{15} = S_{12} \]

\[ e_{22} = (\Lambda - \Delta)A - 2M_1 + (\frac{\tau}{3})^2 A^T (R_1 + R_2 + R_3)A \]

\[ + A^T (\Lambda - \Delta), \quad e_{44} = -3e^{-\frac{2k}{3}\tau} R_3 - 2\Gamma_1 M_2 \Gamma_2 \]
First, the maximum delay bounds are listed in Table I.

\[
e_{23} = (\Lambda - \Delta)B + \left(\frac{T}{3}\right)^2A^T(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)B
\]

\[
e_{33} = \left(\frac{T}{3}\right)^2B^T(R_1 + R_2 + R_3)B - 2M_2
\]

\[
e_{34} = M_2(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2), e_{45} = 2e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_3
\]

\[
e_{55} = -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}Q_2 + S_{22} - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{11} - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_3
\]

\[
e_5 = -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_2, e_{57} = -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{13},
\]

\[
e_{67} = -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{23} - e^{-2k}r_1, e_{67} = e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{13},
\]

\[
e_{77} = -e^{-2k}Q_4 - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{33} - e^{-2k}r_1
\]

\[
f_{15} = S_{12} + e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{33}, f_{45} = e^{-\frac{2k}{3}} \times \frac{3}{2} R_2,
\]

\[
f_{44} = -3e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_2 - 2\Gamma_1 M_2 \Gamma_2
\]

\[
f_{55} = -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}Q_2 + S_{22} - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{11} - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_3
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}} R_2, f_{46} = e^{-\frac{2k}{3}} \times 2R_2 \\ f_{56} = S_{23} - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{13}, g_{15} = S_{12} + e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{33} \\ f_{66} = -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}Q_4 + S_{33} - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_2 - e^{-2k} r_1 - e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{22} \\ g_{47} = 2e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_1, g_{44} = -3e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}R_2 - 2\Gamma_1 M_2 \Gamma_2, \\ g_{67} = -e^{-\frac{2k}{3}}S_{23} \end{bmatrix}
\]

Proof: Choosing \( Q_1 = 0 \) in Theorem 1, one can easily obtain this result.

Remark 1. This paper not only divides the delay interval \([0, d/2]\) into \([0, d/2, d/2]\) but also divides \([0, d]\) into \([0, d/3, d/2, 2d/3]\) and \([2d/3, d]\). Each segment has a different Lyapunov matrix, which have potential to yield less conservative results.

IV. EXAMPLES

In this section, we provide the simulation of examples to illustrate the effectiveness of our method.

Example 1. Consider the system (5) with the following parameters:

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.5 \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Gamma_1 = diag(0, 0), \Gamma_2 = diag(1, 1)
\]

First, the maximum delay bounds \( \tau \) are shown under different \( k \) are list in Table I.

Second, let \( u = 0 \), the maximum exponential convergence rate \( k \) are shown under different \( \tau \) are list in Table II

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this works</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then, when \( k = 0.25, u = 0.8 \) or unknown, the maximum delay bounds \( \tau \) and various \( u \) in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>Unknown(corollary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this works</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 2. Consider the system (5) with the following parameters:

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & -0.8 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & -1.5 & 0.7 \\ -0.8 & -1.2 & -1.4 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} -1.4 & 0.9 & 0.5 \\ -0.6 & 1.2 & 0.8 \\ 0.5 & -0.7 & 1.1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Gamma_1 = diag(-1.2, 0, -2.4), \Gamma_2 = diag(0, 1.4, 0)
\]

For various \( \tau, u \), the maximum exponential convergence rate \( k \) are shown in Table IV.

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( (\tau, u) )</th>
<th>(0,5,0)</th>
<th>(0,5,0.5)</th>
<th>(0,6,0.5)</th>
<th>(0,8,0.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theorem I</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an improved global exponential stability criterion for recurrent neural networks with time-varying delay is proposed. A suitable Lyapunov functional has been proposed to derive some less conservative delay-dependent stability criteria by using the free-weighting matrices method and the convex combination theorem. Finally, numerical examples have been given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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