Abstract—A theoretical investigation from the viewpoint of gas-dynamics and thermodynamics was carried out, in order to clarify the energy separation mechanism in a viscous compressible vortex, as a primary flow element in a uni-flow vortex tube. The mathematical solutions of tangential velocity, density and temperature in a viscous compressible vortical flow were used in this study. It is clear that a total temperature in the vortex core falls well below that distant from the vortex core in the radial direction, causing a region with higher total temperature, compared to the distant region, peripheral to the vortex core.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A vortex tube is a simple fluid-dynamic device which can separately discharge cold and hot gases from a pressurized gas at room temperature. This device is also called a Ranque-Hilsch vortex tube since its invention by G. Ranque [1] and its investigation by Hilsch [2].

The VT is generally categorized into two types, (a) uni-flow type and (b) counter flow type, as shown in Fig. 1. In the uni-flow type VT, compressed air enters the VT through a single tangential nozzle or multiple tangential nozzles and produces a high-speed vortical flow in the vortex chamber. The rotational flow follows the tube wall to the opposite end. Then, the core flow exits the VT at a lower temperature, the peripheral flow exits at a higher temperature. In the counter flow VT, the peripheral flow exits with a higher temperature, and the core is forced back to the vortex chamber by a control valve, and exits the VT as a cold flow.

At the present time, several theories have been proposed for the thermal energy separation mechanism in the VT by several researchers [3], [4]. It is generally accepted from those theories that, the cold flow temperature reduction is caused by an adiabatic expansion of the compressed gas. However, details of the physics explaining the cold flow generation, from the fluid dynamic viewpoint still remain unclear.

In this study, a viscous compressible vortex model based on the Navier-Stokes equations is examined in order to clarify the physical reasons for the total energy separation phenomena which occurs in VT. Since it is difficult to obtain a mathematical solution for the Navier-Stokes equations with axial reverse flow, which occurs in the counter flow VT, a uni-flow type VT is the focus in this study. Another reason for analyzing the uni-flow type in this study is that the flow structure in the uni-flow VT is simpler compared to that in the counter flow type. Therefore, first the flow mechanism of the uni-flow VT should be clarified.

II. VORTEX MODEL [5]

The basic equations for compressible axisymmetric vortex are the equations of mass continuity, Navier-Stokes, and energy in cylindrical coordinate (r, θ, z), and are written as;

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r \rho V_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (\rho V_\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_z)}{\partial z} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V_r}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r \rho V_r V_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_\theta V_r)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_z V_r)}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\sigma_\theta}{r} + \frac{\sigma_z}{r} + \frac{\partial \tau_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tau_z}{\partial z} \right) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V_\theta}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r \rho V_r V_\theta)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_\theta V_\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_z V_\theta)}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_\theta}{\partial r} + \frac{\sigma_\theta}{r} + \frac{\sigma_z}{r} + \frac{\partial \tau_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tau_z}{\partial z} \right) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V_z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_r V_z)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_\theta V_z)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_z V_z)}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_z}{\partial r} + \frac{\sigma_\theta}{r} + \frac{\sigma_z}{r} + \frac{\partial \tau_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tau_z}{\partial z} \right) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}
\]

\[
s_r \frac{DT}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{Dp}{Dt} \frac{k}{\rho} \frac{\psi^2}{\rho} \frac{\Phi}{\rho}
\]

where, \( t \) is the time, \( \rho \) is the density, \( p \) is the pressure, \( T \) is the temperature, \( (V_r, V_\theta, V_z) \) is the velocity of \((r, \theta, z)\) component, \( c_r \)
is the specific heat at constant pressure, \( k \) is the thermal conductivity, respectively. The normal stress \( \sigma \) and tangential stress \( \tau \) are expressed as;

\[
\sigma = -p + 2 \mu \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - \frac{2}{3} \mu \cdot \text{div} \mathbf{V} \\
\sigma = -p + 2 \mu \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \mu \cdot \text{div} \mathbf{V}
\]

(5)

(6)

\[
\tau_{\theta \theta} = \rho \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r} \right) \\
\tau_{\phi \phi} = \rho \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} + \frac{V}{r} \right)
\]

(7)

(8)

(9)

where, \( \mu \) is the coefficient of viscosity, \( \Phi \) is the dissipation function expressed as;

\[
\Phi = 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}
\]

(10)

The mathematical operators used in (2)-(6) are;

\[
\frac{D}{Dt} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + V_{\theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} + V_{\phi} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + V_r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - \frac{2}{3} \mu \cdot \text{div} \mathbf{V}
\]

(11)

\[
\nabla^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} - \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}
\]

(12)

\[
\text{div} \mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial (r V)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r} \right)
\]

(13)

The physical properties used in the above equations are normalized as follows;

\[
r' = \frac{r}{r_c} \quad z' = \frac{z}{r_c} \quad V'_r = \frac{V_r}{V_{r_c}} \quad V'_\theta = \frac{V_{\theta}}{V_{r_c}} \quad V'_\phi = \frac{V_{\phi}}{V_{r_c}} \quad h' = h(r') z'
\]

(14)

\[
p' = \frac{p}{p_{r_c}} \quad \rho' = \frac{\rho}{\rho_{r_c}} \quad T' = \frac{T}{T_{r_c}} \quad T'_0 = \frac{T_o}{T_{r_c}}
\]

(15)

where, \( r_c \) is the core radius of the vortex. \( V_{r_c} \) is the tangential velocity at \( r = r_c \), which is written as;

\[
V_{r_c} = \frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi r_c}
\]

(16)

where, \( \Gamma \) is the vortex circulation. The subscript \( \infty \) shows the properties distant from the vortex center.

In order to derive the solutions of the basic equations, the following assumptions are used; 1) The flow is steady and axisymmetric, 2) \( V' \) and \( h' \ll V_{r_c} \). Then, (1)-(4) are simplified as follows using the simplification based on the same order magnitude consideration of the governing equations outlined in [6], [7];

\[
1 \frac{d}{dr} \left( \rho V'^2 \right) + \rho h' = 0
\]

(17)

\[
\rho \frac{V'^2}{r^2} = \frac{dp'}{dr}
\]

(18)

\[
\rho \frac{d}{dr} \left( r'^2 \frac{dV_r'}{dr} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r'^2 \frac{dT'}{dr} \right)
\]

(19)

where,

\[
F' = r'^2 \left( \frac{d}{dr} \left( V'_r \right) \right)^2
\]

(20)

\[
r_r = \frac{\rho \nu V_{r_c}}{\mu}
\]

(21)

\[
M' = \frac{V_{r_c}}{\sqrt{R T_{r_c}}}
\]

(22)

\[
p_r = \frac{\mu k}{\nu}
\]

(23)

The equation of state for a calorically perfect gas in nondimensional form is written as;

\[
\rho' = \frac{\rho T^2}{M^2}
\]

(24)

or

\[
\frac{p}{p_r} = \rho T
\]

(25)

The required boundary conditions are

1) at \( r' = 0 \); \( V'_r(r) = 0 \), \( V'_\theta(r) = 0 \), \( \frac{dp'}{dr'} = 0 \), \( \frac{dT'}{dr'} = 0 \)

2) at \( r' \to \infty \); \( V'_r(r') \to 1 \), \( T'(r') \to 1 \), \( \rho'(r') \to 1 \), \( \gamma M'^{2} \rho' \to 1 \)

A set of most practical solutions of (16)-(18), under the boundary conditions of 1) and 2), are

\[
V'_r = \frac{r'}{\sqrt{1 + \rho'^2}}
\]

(26)
The normalized temperature, which satisfies (19) with temperature boundary conditions, can be written as:

\[ T' = 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_o^2 \left( \frac{\tan^{-1}(\rho)}{1 + \rho^2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \]  

(29)

In this case, the Prandtl number \( P_r = 2/3 \) is assumed, to obtain (29). The normalized density is obtained by (17), (26), (24) and (29) as:

\[ \rho' = \exp \left[ \gamma M_o^2 \left( \int_0^{r'} \frac{V_o'^2}{\rho'^2} \, dr' - \int_0^{r'} \frac{V_o'^2}{\rho} \, dr' \right) \right] \]  

(30)

The tangential velocity calculated by (26), along with that of the Rankine vortex, is shown in Fig. 2. The figure shows that \( V_o' \) of the Vatistas and Aboelkassem (VA) model has a rounded peak compared to the Rankine vortex sharp peak at \( r' = 1 \).

The static pressure distributions are calculated using (25), (29), (30) and are shown in Fig. 3 with solid curves for \( M_o = 0.6, 0.8 \) and 1.0, along with the static pressure distributions of the Rankine vortex using broken lines. Fig. 3 shows that the static pressure of the VA model does not decrease as sharply as the Rankine vortex in the core region \( 0 < r' < 1 \).

The static temperature distributions are calculated using (29) and are shown in Fig. 4. The figure shows that the static temperature decreases below \( T'_r \) \( (T' < 1) \) in the radial inward direction. In addition, the larger the Mach number \( M_o \) is, the smaller the nondimensional temperature \( T' \) is.

\[ V_o' = \frac{1}{R_i \rho'} \frac{6 \rho^2}{1 + r'^2} \]  

(27)

\[ V^' = \frac{e'}{R_i \rho'} \frac{24 \rho^2}{(1 + r'^2)^2} \]  

(28)

Fig. 2 Normalized tangential velocity

Fig. 3 Normalized static pressure

Fig. 4 Normalized static temperature

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The authors believe that understanding the total enthalpy or total temperature distribution in a compressible vortex helps to clarify the energy separation mechanism in a uni-flow vortex tube. When discussing the thermodynamic performance of a VT, the total temperature is one of the most important physical properties. A local total temperature in nondimensional form can be written as:

\[ T_o' = T'_r + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_o^2 V_o'^2 \]  

(31)

The solid line in Fig. 5 shows the nondimensional total temperature distribution, in the radial direction calculated by (31) along with (26) and (29) at \( M_o = 1.0 \) and \( \gamma = 1.40 \). The dotted lines in the figure show the first and second terms in (31). From (31), \( T_o' \) has a minimum value at \( r' = 0 \), and is derived as:

\[ T_{o,\text{min}}' = T_{o,\text{max}}' = 1 - \frac{\pi \gamma - 1}{6} M_o^2 \quad (r' = 0) \]  

(32)
Likewise, the maximum value of $T_o'$ can be expressed as; \[ T_{o_{\text{max}}} = 1 + 0.343 \frac{\gamma - 1}{6} M_o^2 \quad (r' = \sqrt[3]{3} \approx 1.32) \] (33)

Equations (32) and (33) clearly show that if the flow is compressible, that is $M_o > 0$, the total (or static) temperature is smaller than $T_o$ at the center of the vortex, and the total temperature is greater than $T_o$ at outside the vortex core, $r' = \sqrt[3]{3}$, regardless the values of $\gamma$ and $M_o$. In addition, the greater the Mach number $M_o$ is, the smaller $T_{o_{\text{min}}}$ is, and also the greater $T_{o_{\text{max}}}$ is. If $T_o$ is regarded as a room temperature in the actual uni-flow VT case, it can be said that the phenomenon of total temperature separation occurs essentially due to the existence of the gas flow compressibility.

In order to discuss the effect of viscosity on the total temperature distribution in the compressible vortex, the value of a dissipation function, $\Phi$, is examined. The nondimensional form of the function, (10), is simplified as; \[ \Phi' = \frac{\Phi}{\mu T_o'^2} = r' \left( \frac{d}{d r'} \left( \frac{V_o'^2}{r'} \right) \right)^2 = 4 \left( \frac{r'^{r'_{\text{max}}}}{r' + r'^{2/3}} \right)^2 \] (34)

Fig. 6 shows the result calculated by (34). The maximum value of the function is obtained at $r' = \sqrt[3]{2} \approx 1.19$. This value is close to $r' = \sqrt[3]{3} \approx 1.32$, where the total temperature reaches the maximum value. This result implies that the energy dissipation due to viscosity has a contribution to the total temperature increase over $T_o$ outside the vortex core.

Fig. 5 Normalized total/static/dynamic temperatures at $M_o = 1.0$

Fig. 6 Normalized dissipation function

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A viscous compressible vortex model was examined in order to clarify the physical reason for total energy separation phenomena which occurs in a uni-flow VT. The results obtained in this study are summarized as follows;

1) If the flow is compressible, the total temperature at the center of the vortex is smaller than $T_o$, the static temperature distant from the vortex center, and is greater than $T_o$ at outside the vortex core.

2) The greater the representative Mach number $M_o$ is, the smaller the total temperature at the center is, and the greater the maximum total temperature is over $T_o$.

3) The value of the dissipation function reaches its maximum value at a radial point close to the point where the total temperature is at maximum.
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