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Abstract—Wireless communications have been expanded very fast in recent decades. This technology relies on an extensive network of base stations and antennas, using radio frequency signals to transmit information. Devices that use wireless communication, while offering various services, basically act as sources of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields (EMF). Such devices are permanently present in human vicinity and almost constantly radiate, causing EMF pollution of the environment. This fact has initiated development of modern systems for observation of the EMF pollution, as well as for risk assessment. This paper presents the Serbian electromagnetic field monitoring network – SEMONT, designed for automated, remote and continuous broadband monitoring of EMF in the environment. Measurement results of the SEMONT monitoring at one of the test locations, within the main campus of the University of Novi Sad, are presented and discussed, along with corresponding exposure assessment of the general population, regarding the Serbian legislation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE electromagnetic field (EMF) pollution of environment became unavoidable, since obvious increase in number of EMF sources, such as personal communication devices, base stations for wireless services, radio and TV broadcasting facilities, power lines, radars, etc. The existence of these sources in human surrounding, forces a safety problem both for the human health and the environment [1]. In order to raise the awareness of the public on present EMF pollution and possibly dangerous effects of long-term exposure to EMF radiation, our research team has started the development of the Serbian electromagnetic field monitoring network – SEMONT [2]. This system is intended for remote and continuous broadband EMF monitoring in the environment, acquiring real-time information on the present in-situ EMF strength and performing assessment of the daily exposure over the area covered by the SEMONT network.

In this paper, the brief explanation of the SEMONT concept is repeated in Section II, while performed initial monitoring and measurement results, which are acquired over one particular location, are presented in Sections III and IV. Section V describes the SEMONT’s approach for daily exposure assessment of the general population, regarding the Serbian legislation [3]. Finally, Section VI brings conclusion on this work.
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II. THE SEMONT SYSTEM DESIGN

The SEMONT monitoring system is based on modern autonomous wireless sensor nodes for monitoring of the present EMF pollution. The sensor nodes are intended to be spatially distributed over an observed area, as depicted in Fig. 1 [2].

![Fig. 1 The SEMONT utilization over an urban area](image)

The central control station (CCS) of the Internet portal [4], [5], controls and coordinates activities of remote sensors, collects, processes and stores data into a centralized database. Communication between CCS and remote sensor nodes relies on GSM cellular network and sensor nodes are equipped with a quad-band GSM/GPRS modem for remote control and for data upload/download [6], [7].

Additionally, the SEMONT system is enhanced with a feature to incorporate measurement data obtained by handheld equipment, as shown in Fig. 1 [8].

Several additional details on SEMONT system can be found in previously published papers. In this paper they will be omitted, while focus will be on the EMF monitoring of the high-frequency electric field at particular location on the campus of the University of Novi Sad, as well as the analysis of results of measurement and corresponding exposure assessment.

III. MEASUREMENT LOCATION

The campus of the University of Novi Sad is central place of gathering of a large number of students, and unfortunately, in the past there was not any systematic EMF monitoring, even this area is usually considered as a highly sensitive area.

The initial EMF monitoring campaign was performed in a period of ten days, on most crowded locations over campus. Each day, measurements were conducted on a different location in time period from 10 AM until 2 PM, since it is the busiest period at the campus. The measurement grid was assigned to each location, positioned to cover the pathways of...
the daily high fluctuation of student population.

In this paper, the measurement results obtained at campus location near the corner of Dr S. Milosevica and Dr V. Savica Streets will be presented. This location was chosen, since in the vicinity there are: The Higher School of Professional Business Studies, the Faculty of Economy and the Student’s sport center. Additionally, an elementary school and a kindergarten are located, as shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 Measurement location and surrounding base stations

Besides, two GSM base stations are active in the vicinity of this location, which main beams of sector 1 antennas intersect over the measurement location, as can be seen from Fig. 2 [9].

The open area measurement was performed, observing the so-called unperturbed field, without the presence of persons or any other objects that can influence EMF distribution. At this location, the measurement grid was formed, consisting of 25 squared arranged points with a matrix form. The measurement points were positioned equidistantly, with a distance of 1 m, according to standard SRPS EN 50492:2010 [13], because dominant sources (GSM 900, GSM 1800) are in the range above 300 MHz.

Table I shows the results of the field scan procedure for high-frequency electric field over 25 measurement grid points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>P7</td>
<td>P8</td>
<td>P9</td>
<td>P10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>P12</td>
<td>P13</td>
<td>P14</td>
<td>P15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16</td>
<td>P17</td>
<td>P18</td>
<td>P19</td>
<td>P20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P21</td>
<td>P22</td>
<td>P23</td>
<td>P24</td>
<td>P25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be observed that values of the electric field strength are in the range from 0.63 V/m to 0.93 V/m and the maximum value of electric field strength was found at points P24 and P25. Unfortunately, the presence of some metal objects in the vicinity of those points was noticed, which could cause interference and diminish the reliability and accuracy of results of monitoring. Thus, the point P22 was declared as a hot-spot, without any impairment on measurement validity.

B. Statistic Analysis of the Preliminary Field Scan

According to the preliminary field scan, it can be seen that spatial values of the electric field strength, at this location, are far below the Serbian prescribed reference levels [3], [14]. The highest value of the electric field strength is 0.93 V/m, which does not represent serious threat for the general population. Additionally, average and minimum values are also within the allowed limits, as shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E_{max} [V/m]</th>
<th>E_{min} [V/m]</th>
<th>E_{avg} [V/m]</th>
<th>St.Dev [V/m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.090651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that standard deviation of field strength is very small with value of 0.090651. This number is a measure of how all values are dispersed from the average value. It is calculated using following equation:

EF 0691 [12], which frequency range is from 300 kHz to 6 GHz.

A. Preliminary Field Scan

Preliminary field scan was performed over the measurement grid at height of 1.1 m above the ground surface. The technical personnel held the instrument for a few seconds at the measurement point, recorded level of the electric field strength and moved forward to the next point. While measurements were being conducted, the field probe was positioned at least 50 cm from the operator's body, according to standard SRPS EN 50492:2010 [13], because dominant sources (GSM 900, GSM 1800) are in the range above 300 MHz.

Table I shows the results of the field scan procedure for high-frequency electric field over 25 measurement grid points.

IV. MEASUREMENT RESULTS
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Preliminary field scan was performed over the measurement grid at height of 1.1 m above the ground surface. The technical personnel held the instrument for a few seconds at the measurement point, recorded level of the electric field strength and moved forward to the next point. While measurements were being conducted, the field probe was positioned at least 50 cm from the operator's body, according to standard SRPS EN 50492:2010 [13], because dominant sources (GSM 900, GSM 1800) are in the range above 300 MHz.

Table I shows the results of the field scan procedure for high-frequency electric field over 25 measurement grid points.
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It can be observed that values of the electric field strength are in the range from 0.63 V/m to 0.93 V/m and the maximum value of electric field strength was found at points P24 and P25. Unfortunately, the presence of some metal objects in the vicinity of those points was noticed, which could cause interference and diminish the reliability and accuracy of results of monitoring. Thus, the point P22 was declared as a hot-spot, without any impairment on measurement validity.

B. Statistic Analysis of the Preliminary Field Scan

According to the preliminary field scan, it can be seen that spatial values of the electric field strength, at this location, are far below the Serbian prescribed reference levels [3], [14]. The highest value of the electric field strength is 0.93 V/m, which does not represent serious threat for the general population. Additionally, average and minimum values are also within the allowed limits, as shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E_{max} [V/m]</th>
<th>E_{min} [V/m]</th>
<th>E_{avg} [V/m]</th>
<th>St.Dev [V/m]</th>
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It can be seen that standard deviation of field strength is very small with value of 0.090651. This number is a measure of how all values are dispersed from the average value. It is calculated using following equation:
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( E_i - E_{\text{avg}} \right)^2 \over n-1 \]

(1)

where \( n = 25 \) is number of measurement points, \( E_i \) is measured value of electric field strength at point \( i \) and \( E_{\text{avg}} \) is electric field average value of 0.775 V/m.

This statistical analysis confirms that chosen location is location with almost uniform spatial distribution and very small deviation of the electric field strength.

**C. Continuous Monitoring in the Hot-Spot**

After finishing first stage of measurement and declaring the so-called hot-spot point, continuous monitoring of the electric field was conducted in this point. It was done with 6 min averaging, as suggested in the guidelines [15], [16]. However, in this initial monitoring campaign measurement period was only four hours and during that time period continuous monitoring was performed by observing the maximum, minimum and the averaged field values. The NBM-550 instrument was set up on the wooden tripod and the probe was positioned at the height of 1.7 m, as shown in Fig. 3.

![Continuous monitoring of electric field strength at the hot-spot](image)

Height of 1.7 m was chosen as the average human height, and the primary aim was to perform monitoring on highly sensitive position of the human head. During monitoring process, the instrument was set up to “Timer Logging mode” [11], recording values of the electric field without interruption during the time period of four hours.

Results of the continuous monitoring are shown in Fig. 4, where three curves are plotted on the graph describing fluctuation of the maximum, minimum and average level of the electric field during the monitoring period.

![Daily curves as a result of the continuous monitoring](image)

**D. Statistic Analysis of the Continuous Monitoring**

Observing acquired measurement results of the continuous monitoring and the graph for the average value of the electric field, it can be concluded that field strength remains constant during the time period of four hours. In Table III some statistical data are given, confirming such conclusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III</th>
<th>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MEASUREMENT RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{max}} ) [V/m]</td>
<td>1.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{avg}} ) [V/m]</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{min}} ) [V/m]</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be noticed that maximum value of the electric field strength, during monitoring period, is within the range from 0.819 V/m to 1.248 V/m. That is quite below the Serbian prescribed reference levels [3], [14]. Averaged values are around 0.8 V/m, during entire period of monitoring, suggesting that chosen location is not dangerous for the general population. The peak in graph for the maximum value of the electric field, that can be noticed, is probably result of random increase of phone traffic, caused by the passerby at the site.

**V. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT**

Based on permanent recording of the measurements during 24/7 period, the SEMONT system is able to detect fluctuations of EMF and daily exposure can be assessed for any instant of time.
A. Exposure Assessment at the Test Location

Unfortunately, if the broadband measurement approach is applied it is not possible to determine the frequencies at which EMF sources emit. Therefore, the exposure assessment needs to take into account the entire frequency range covered by the field probe.

In the SEMONT system, the lower and upper level of global exposure ratio (GER) was calculated according to the standard SRPS EN 50492:2010 [13]. The following expressions were used for GER level assessment [17]:

\[
\text{GER}_{\text{upper}} = \left( \frac{E_{\text{mes}}}{E_{\text{ref min}}} \right)^2, \quad \text{GER}_{\text{lower}} = \left( \frac{E_{\text{mes}}}{E_{\text{ref max}}} \right)^2
\]

where \( E_{\text{mes}} \) is measured value of electric field strength, while \( E_{\text{ref min}} = 11 \text{ V/m} \) and \( E_{\text{ref max}} = 34.8 \text{ V/m} \) are the minimum and maximum reference levels of the electric field strength, prescribed by the Serbian legislation for the general population [3], [14], over the field probe frequency range.

While performing exposure assessment, the SEMONT system uses the average values of the measurements as \( E_{\text{mes}} \). Results of performed GER assessment are shown in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5 The SEMONT GER assessment](image)

The real exposure ratio lies between the lower and the upper limits, and monitoring shows that its level at the hot-spot ranges between 0.00042625 and 0.0055573, which is far below the allowed limit of \( \text{GER}_{\text{max}} = 1 \), prescribed by the legislation for the general population [3], [14].

B. Statistical Analysis of the Exposure Assessment

Observing obtained GER results during the time period of four hours, the statistical analysis was performed and results are given in the Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IV</th>
<th>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF THE EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GER_{upper}</td>
<td>0.0055573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GER_{lower}</td>
<td>0.0005526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum value of \( \text{GER}_{\text{upper}} \) is 0.0055573 and that result confirms that exposure is far below maximal allowed level, suggesting that EMF strength, present on the test location, is not dangerous, neither for humans nor the equipment.

Beside, statistical analysis shows that standard deviations of \( \text{GER}_{\text{upper}} \) and \( \text{GER}_{\text{lower}} \) are 0.00031986 and 0.000031959, respectively; confirming that at the monitoring location there is no any unexpected increase of EMF radiation in environment.

VI. CONCLUSION

Considering increased number of EMF sources, the development of modern systems for EMF monitoring became necessary, as a support for authorities in their efforts to timely inform public on current level of EMF strength in environment and their surroundings.

The SEMONT system is unique system at the national level in the Republic of Serbia, introducing an advanced and modern approach of the wireless sensor network implementation for daily supervision of the overall and cumulative level of the EMF, over an area of interest.

In order to test SEMONT functionality and to perform investigation of EMF over the area of the campus of the University of Novi Sad, initial monitoring was conducted.

In the vicinity of monitored location, the presence of two GSM base stations, as dominant sources, was noticed, indicating that significant electric field level could be expected in this area. However, results of preliminary spatial scan and continuous monitoring show that level of electric field strength is in acceptable range and far below allowed limit prescribed by the Serbian legislation for the general population.

The performed monitoring will be valuable for the verification of the SEMONT system functionality and for monitoring campaigns that will follow.
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