A Nutritional Wellness Program for Overweight Health Care Providers in Hospital Setting: A Randomized Controlled Trial Pilot Study


Abstract—Background: The prevalence of workplace obesity is rising worldwide; therefore, the workplace is an ideal venue to implement weight control intervention. This pilot randomized controlled trial aimed to develop, implement, and evaluate a nutritional wellness program for obese health care providers working in a hospital. Methods: This hospital-based nutritional wellness program was an 8-week pilot randomized controlled trial for obese health care providers. The primary outcomes were body weight and body mass index (BMI). The secondary outcomes were serum fasting glucose, fasting cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density (HDL) and low-density (LDL) lipoprotein, body fat percentage, and body mass. Participants were randomly assigned to the intervention (n = 20) or control (n = 22) group. Participants in both groups received individual nutrition counselling and nutrition pamphlets, whereas only participants in the intervention group were given mobile phone text messages. Results: 42 participants completed the study. In comparison with the control group, the intervention group showed approximately 0.98 kg weight reduction after two months. Participants in intervention group also demonstrated clinically significant improvement in BMI, serum cholesterol level, and HDL level. There was no improvement of body fat percentage and body mass for both intervention and control groups. Conclusion: The nutritional wellness program for obese health care providers was feasible in hospital settings. Health care providers demonstrated short-term weight loss, decrease in serum fasting cholesterol level, and HDL level after completing the program.
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I. INTRODUCTION

OBESITY is a global problem. In 2014, 1.9 billion people were overweight, and 600 million of these were obese [1]. Overweight and obesity are characterized by a BMI greater than 25 and 30, respectively [2]. In the Asian population, the scale is adjusted as a BMI greater than 23 and 25 for overweight and obesity, respectively. In general, health care providers play a pivotal role in preventing obesity. However, recent researches showed that more than half of the hospital employees were overweight or obese [3], [4]. The increased prevalence of obesity among the health care providers [5], [6] indicated that health care providers who take care of others are generally less likely to take care of themselves. Several studies also suggested that factors like primiparity [7], increasing age [8], and eating habits [9] were associated with high obesity prevalence in nurses.

Employment in the health care industry is associated with increased obesity prevalence [10]. Hostile work environment, shiftwork, job stress, and unhealthy eating habits are the contributing factors of obesity among health care providers in hospitals [11]-[13]. Obesity exerts health impacts at the personal and organizational levels. Recent research has indicated that obesity contributes to the development of cardiovascular disease, various malignancies, musculoskeletal disease, and sleep apnea [14]-[16]. At the organization level, obesity has been associated with substantially increased rates of absenteeism [17]-[19].

Healthy workplace and personal lifestyle behavior are crucial for obesity prevention. A workplace weight control program could create a culture of health and facilitate weight management among health care providers [20]-[23]. Nutritional knowledge alone shows no correlation with dietary practice [24], [25]. Thus, other strategies should be adopted to engage health care providers in lifestyle modification. Mobile phone text messages may help obese adults in weight management.

To date, limited research is available about the effect of mobile phone text messages on weight management for health care providers. Individually tailored mobile phone text messages may shed light in fostering self-weight management. In view of the high prevalence of overweight and obesity in health care providers, a multifaceted strategy should be considered for this vulnerable group. This study aims to develop, implement, and evaluate a hospital-based weight management program for obese health care providers by using information technology.

II. METHODS

A. Study Design

The 8-week workplace nutritional wellness program is a pilot randomized control trial. The intervention and control groups comprised 20 and 22 participants, respectively. The objective outcomes of the study included body weight, BMI, body fat percentage, blood pressure, LDL level, HDL level, and fasting glucose level.
A total of 42 participants were recruited and completed the program. Probability sampling was adopted in this study. Full-time health care providers in a hospital with a BMI equal to or greater than 25, a smart phone, and a commitment to 2-month follow-up were included in the program. Pregnant women or individuals with medical conditions that might limit their ability to comply to nutritional interventions were excluded from the program.

B. Recruitment Strategy

Full-time obese health care providers were recruited from a regional hospital in Hong Kong. To prevent coercion in the recruitment process, general public recruitment tools such as posters and recruitment flyers were adopted to recruit eligible health care providers. Promotional posters and recruitment flyers were delivered to different departments using internal hospital mail. Electronic copies of the posters and recruitment flyers were sent to hospital employees in different departments using intranet email. Potential participants were invited to approach the coordinator in the dietetic department for initial screening.

Eligible participants were required to sign informed consent forms before participating in the program. This workplace nutritional wellness program was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Hospital Authority Kowloon West Cluster, Hong Kong.

C. Intervention

Participants were randomly assigned into the intervention or control group. Both groups received a face-to-face education session for 45 min. The education session included nutritional knowledge on etiology, clinical manifestation, treatment modality of obesity, food selection, and food labeling. Participants in the intervention group received tailored weight management intervention, including individual nutrition counseling, nutrition pamphlets, telephone counseling, and smart phone text messages within eight weeks. Participants in the control group received individual nutrition counseling and nutrition pamphlets.

D. Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA was used to determine any differences in demographic features among the various respondents. The data retrieved from the demographic questionnaire were used to describe the population in the study. The descriptive measures of all participants, along with other study outcomes, were summarized by percentage, mean, and 95% CI, as well as compared for between-group differences. The paired t-test was used to test the sets of collected data at the baseline and 2-month follow-up. SPSS statistics software version 22 for Windows was used for data analysis.

III. RESULT

A total of 47 participants were recruited for the study, of whom 42 completed the study. The retention rate of this study was 89.36%; five participants did not turn up in the follow-up visit. Of the 42 participants, 8 (19%) were male and 34 (81%) were female. The mean age was 46, and the mean BMI was 28. No significant differences in baseline demographics were found between the two groups.

In comparison with the control group, the mean net weight loss in the intervention group increased at the end of the study. In the follow-up visit at week 8, the mean change in weight from baseline was −0.3 kg (95% CI) in the control group and −0.98 kg (95% CI) in the intervention group. The net difference of BMI mean in intervention groups was −0.4 (95% CI).

Paired samples T-test analysis indicated an improved means in systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, serum cholesterol and HDL in the intervention group. No improvement in the mean body fat percentage and body mass were found in both intervention and control groups.

IV. DISCUSSION

Positive results of this study illustrated the value of workplace weight management program. The excellent retention rate (89%) of the study suggests that the participants were interested in self-weight management. The financial support of the employer on the weight management program encouraged the obese health care providers to participate in the program.

Anthropometric characteristics of the participants were measured at the baseline and in the 8-week follow-up period. The body weight, body fat, and BMI were measured using a Tanita machine. The mean weight changed from baseline to −0.98 and −0.31 kg in the intervention and control groups after eight weeks, respectively. The participants in the intervention group who received mobile phone text messages lost significantly more weight than those in the control group. This result was consistent with the social cognitive theory, which stated that health behavior was regulated by reinforcement (mobile phone text messages). The recommended average weight loss is 1–2 lb per week [26]. The suboptimal weight loss (0.27 lb per week) for intervention group suggests that the participants had not cut an adequate amount of calories from their daily diet.

The cutoff point for body fat percentage defining obesity [27] greater and equal 25% in men and greater and equal 35% in women were adopted in the study. Participants in both intervention and control groups demonstrated no improvement
in body fat percentage and body mass. Participants who were classified as obese by BMI were also expected to be classified as obese by body fat percentage. However, one male participant obtained an obese BMI (26) with a normal body fat percentage (23%).

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional Wellness Program</th>
<th>Baseline data</th>
<th>Follow-up data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD N</td>
<td>Mean ± SD N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>71.19±11.31 22</td>
<td>70.88±11.45 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.95±3.67 22</td>
<td>28.84±3.84 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>40.37±7.65 22</td>
<td>40.61±8.00 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (per kg)</td>
<td>29.21±9.48 22</td>
<td>29.40±9.47 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.69±0.77 22</td>
<td>5.48±0.74 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>5.59±1.00 22</td>
<td>5.44±1.06 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.30±0.27 22</td>
<td>1.29±0.26 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.65±0.87 22</td>
<td>3.52±0.80 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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