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Abstract—In this paper, we study the performance of the strong stability method of the univariate classical risk model. We interest to
the stability bounds established using two approaches. The first based on
the strong stability method developed for a general Markov chains.
The second approach based on the regenerative processes theory . By
adopting an algorithmic procedure, we study the performance of the
stability method in the case of exponential distribution claim amounts.
After presenting numerically and graphically the stability bounds,
an interpretation and comparison of the results have been done.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE stochastic processes are used in ruin theory to model
the insurance company surplus and to evaluate its ruin
probability [4], that is to say, the probability that the total
claim amounts exceeds its reserve. This characteristic is a much
studied risk measure in the literature. In general, this measure
is very difficult or even impossible to evaluate explicitly.
Thus, different approximation methods have been proposed
to estimate this characteristic (see Asmussen and Albrecher,
2010 [3]; Grandell, 1990 [7]).

The classical risk model in the one-dimensional situation
can be stated as

\[ R(t) = u + c t - S(t), \quad t \geq 0 \]  

(1)

where \( R(t) \) is the surplus of an insurance company at time
\( t \geq 0 \), and the process \( \{S(t), t \geq 0\} \) is called the aggregate
claims process and is given by

\[ S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} X_i, \]

where \( \{X_i, i \geq 1\} \) is a sequence of independent and identically
distributed random variables, representing the claim amounts
of distribution function denoted by \( F(x) \) and mean claim size
denoted by \( m \), \( u \geq 0 \) the initial surplus, \( c \) the rate at which
the premiums are received, and \( \{N(t), t \geq 0\} \) being a Poisson
process with parameter \( \lambda \), representing the number of claims.

The relative security loading \( \eta \) is defined by

\[ \eta = \frac{c - \lambda m}{\lambda m}. \]

We further assume that \( c > \lambda m \) the expected payment per unit
of time.

Ruin theory for the univariate risk model characterized by
the surplus process \( R(t) \) has been discussed extensively in the
literature. Many results are summarized in the books authored
by Asmussen (2000) [2], Rolski and al. (1999) [11], Dickson
(2005) [5], Willmot and Lin (2001) [12] or Asmussen and
Albrecher (2010) [3].

The risk process can be related to a dual process \( \{V_n\} \) like
in queuing and storage. In risk theory this process is known
as the reversed process since it is constructing by a certain
time-reversing procedure (for such constructions see [6]).

The reversed process satisfies the relation

\[ \Psi_n(u) = P(V_n > u) \]

and

\[ \Psi(u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(V_n > u). \]

where \( u \) is the initial reserve.

II. STABILITY BOUNDS OF THE UNIVARIATE CLASSICAL
RISK MODEL

The application of the strong stability in risk theorie has
been widely discussed in Kalashnikov [9], in this article the
author determines a stability bounds in the univariate classical
risk models using the strong stability [1], [10], where it used
the analysis of the stability of limit distributions of general
Markov chains, and another bound based on the regenerative
processes theory [8].

Let us collect all the parameters of a risk model to a vector
valued governing parameter \( a \). The stability approach consists
of identifying the ruin probability \( \Psi(u) \) associated to the risk
model governed by a vector parameter \( a = (c, \lambda, m) \), with the
stationary distribution of the reversed process \( \{V_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) [9].

Let \( a' = (c', \lambda', m') \) be the vector parameter governing
another univariate risk model defined as above, its ruin
probability and its reversed process being respectively \( \Psi'(u) \)
and \( \{V'_n\}_{n \geq 0} \).

In what follows, we are interested to the stability bounds of
the univariate classical risk model established by Kalashnikov
(2000) [9] using two approaches. The first based on the strong
stability method developed for a general Markov chains [1],
[10] summarized in Theorem 1. The second approach based on

Theorem 1 (Kalashnikov 2000 [9]):
Consider a univariate classical risk model governed by a vector
parameter \( a \). Then, there exists \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that the reversed
process \( \{V_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) (Markov chain) associated to this model is strongly stable with respect to the weight function \( v(u) = e^{\epsilon u} \) \((\epsilon > 0), u \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). In addition, if
\[
\mu(a, a') < (1 - \rho(\epsilon))^2, \tag{2}
\]
then we obtain the margin between the transition operators \( P \) and \( P' \) of the Markov chains \( \{V_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) and \( \{V'_n\}_{n \geq 0} \):
\[
\|P - P'\|_v \leq 2 \mathbb{E} e^{\epsilon Z} \left| \frac{\lambda^{\epsilon'}}{\lambda^c} \right| + \|F - F'\|_v, \tag{3}
\]
where,
\[
\mu(a, a') = 2 \mathbb{E} e^{\epsilon Z} \left| \frac{\lambda^{\epsilon'}}{\lambda^c} \right| + \|F - F'\|_v, \rho(\epsilon) = \mathbb{E} \left( \exp \{\epsilon(Z - c\theta)\} \right)
\]
\[
\|F - F'\|_v = \int_0^\infty v(u) d(F - F')(u) = \int_0^\infty v(u) |f - f'|((u)du).
\]
Moreover, we have the deviation between the ruin probabilities:
\[
\|\Psi - \Psi'\|_v \leq \frac{\mu(a, a')}{(1 - \rho(\epsilon))(1 - (1 - \rho(\epsilon))^2 - \mu(a, a'))} \tag{4}
\]

**Theorem 2** (Kalashnikov 2000 [9]):
Let \( \Psi_n(u) \) and \( \Psi'_n(u) \) ruin probabilities associated to the reversed processes \( \{V_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) and \( \{V'_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) respectively. For \( v(u) = e^{\epsilon u}, \xi = Z - c\theta, u \geq 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \).

Then, under assumption:
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \exp \{\epsilon(Z - c\theta)\} \right) \leq \rho(\epsilon) < 1 \tag{5}
\]
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \exp \{\epsilon Z\} \right) < \infty. \tag{6}
\]
we have the deviation between the ruin probabilities:
\[
\sup_{n \geq 0} |\Psi_n - \Psi'_n|_v \leq \frac{\gamma(\epsilon) \mu}{1 - \rho(\epsilon)} \tag{7}
\]
where,
\[
\gamma(\epsilon) = \sup_n \mathbb{E} \left( e^{\epsilon V_n} \right) < \infty, \mu = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} e^{\epsilon x} |F_x - F_x'|(x)
\]
and
\[
\rho(\epsilon) = \mathbb{E} \left( \exp \{\epsilon(Z - c\theta)\} \right)
\]

### III. Simulation Based Study

In the following sections, we are interested to the stability bounds defined in Theorems 1 and 2 by the relations (4) and (7). We want to study the performance of the strong stability method in the univariate classical risk model in the case of exponential distribution claim amounts. To do this, we have developed the following algorithms:

#### A. Algorithms Construction

**Algorithm 1: The strong stability approach**

1. Introduction the parameters \((c, \lambda, m)\) of the ideal model, and \((c', \lambda', m')\) of the perturbed model.
2. Verify the positivity of the relative Security loadings \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\).
   - If Yes, go to step 3
   - else return to step 1
3. Generate a value of \(\epsilon\) between 0 et \(\min\{a, b\}\) such that \(0 < \rho(\epsilon) < 1\) and \(\Gamma_{MC}\) be minimal, with \(a = \frac{1}{m}, b = \frac{c - \lambda m}{\epsilon m}\).
   - and test \(\mu(a, a') < (1 - \rho(\epsilon))^2\)
   - If yes (we can deduce the strong stability inequality) go to step 4;
   - else return to step 3.
4. Compute the bound \(\Gamma_{MC}\) and the deviation \(D_{MC}\) such that:
   \[
   D_{MC} = |\Psi - \Psi'|_v \leq \frac{\mu(a, a')}{(1 - \rho(\epsilon))(1 - (1 - \rho(\epsilon))^2 - \mu(a, a'))} = \Gamma_{MC}.
   \]

**Algorithm 2: The regenerative process approach**

1. Introduction the parameters \((c, \lambda, m)\) of the ideal model, and \((c', \lambda', m')\) of the perturbed model.
2. Verify the positivity of the relative Security loadings \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\).
   - If Yes, go to step 3
   - else return to step 1
3. Generate a value of \(\epsilon\) between 0 et \(\min\{a, b\}\) such that \(0 < \rho(\epsilon) < 1\) and \(\Gamma_{PR}\) be minimal, with \(a = \frac{1}{m}, b = \frac{c - \lambda m}{\epsilon m}\).
4. Compute the bound \(\Gamma_{PR}\) on the deviation \(D_{PR}\) such that:
   \[
   D_{PR} = \sup_{n \geq 0} |\Psi_n - \Psi'_n|_v \leq \frac{\gamma(\epsilon) \mu}{1 - \rho(\epsilon)} = \Gamma_{PR}.
   \]

The construction of the steps of this algorithms is based on verification of the positivity condition of the relative security loadings, order to avoid certain ruin. Its implementation will allow us to calculate the stability bounds \(\Gamma_{MC}\) and \(\Gamma_{PR}\) given by the relations (4) and (7) respectively.

#### B. Numerical and Graphical Results

This section is devoted to present the different numerical and graphical results obtained when studying the performance of the strong stability method in the univariate classical risk model, by considering the exponential distribution claim amounts.

1. The Strong Stability Approach;
2. The Regenerative Process Approach;
3. Comparison of the Two Approaches;

#### C. Discussion of Results

1. Variation of the Norm Parameter \(\epsilon\): Note, according to Fig. 1 (a) (respectively Fig. 3 (a)) that the deviation \(D_{MC}\)
We notice also that the stability bounds $\Gamma_{MC}$ and $\Gamma_{RP}$ increase speedily in the neighborhood of the boundary of the epsilon variation domain (see Figs. 1 (b) and 3. (b)), that is to say where $\epsilon$ is close to its bounds, $\Gamma_{MC}$ and $\Gamma_{RP}$ take larger values and move away from the deviation of the ruin probabilities $D_{MC}$ and $D_{RP}$ respectively.

From the results given by the Fig. 5, we note that, the epsilon variation domain is wider in the regenerative process.
Fig. 3 Variation of the stability bounds $\Gamma_{RP}$ in function of the norm parameter $\epsilon$

Fig. 4 Variation of the stability bounds $\Gamma_{PR}$ with respect to the perturbation of the average claim amounts $m$

2) Perturbation of the Average Claim Amounts $m$ : We note that the error due to the approximation is proportional to the perturbation of the average claim amounts. In other words, by perturbing the average claim amounts $m$, the deviations $D_{MC}$ (respectively the deviation $D_{RP}$) of the probability of the approach and too small for that obtained. The strong stability approach, this is due to the sensitivity of the stability bound $\Gamma_{MC}$ with respect to the variation of epsilon and to the condition given by the relation 2 that delimits the variation domain.
ruin and the stability bounds $\Gamma_{MC}$ (respectively the deviation $\Gamma_{RP}$) increases at the same time (see Table I and respectively Figs. 2 and 4). However, we note in this case that the quality of the stability inequality decreases.

We notice also that the two bounds grow gradually as $per = |m - m'|$ increases. Having said, $\Gamma_{MC}$ grows faster than $\Gamma_{RP}$ (see Table I and Fig. 6).

The stability bound $\Gamma_{MC}$ is much more sensitive to perturbations of the average claim amounts than the stability bound $\Gamma_{RP}$ (see Table I and Fig. 6).
IV. CONCLUSION

By adopting an algorithmic procedure, we have implemented the approach based on the strong stability and the approach based on the regenerative processes theory. Thereafter, we have determined numerically the stability bounds of the ruin probability of the univariate classical risk model in the case of exponential distribution claim amounts. We have interpreted and compared subsequently the results obtained by the two approaches.

From the results obtained, we noticed that the bounds obtained by the approach based on the theory of regenerative processes are much more affine because they are closer to the deviation of the ruin probability and this is due to their lack of sensitivity to disturbances of various parameters.
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