I. INTRODUCTION

When we focus on strength of materials, which is one of their key properties from the user’s standpoint, we can identify several ways to increase it. There are several fundamental mechanisms for improving strength, i.e. types of strengthening. One of them is strain hardening. Another is solid-solution strengthening of the α-phase in titanium. The α-phase has a hexagonal close-packed crystal structure. It does not offer many slip systems for plastic deformation. It has one slip plane, which is the basal plan (0001), with the slip directions [2110]. Hence, there are three slip systems in total [2]. Furthermore, this structure is expected, particularly at small strains, to undergo deformation primarily by twinning a mechanism which is based on partial dislocation glide.

Rotary swaging is a forging process that is used for reducing the cross sectional area of tubes, bars and wires [3]-[6]. It is mainly employed for mechanical working of round sections. That being said, workpieces with other symmetric cross-sections can be processed as well, such as square and octahedral sections. The advantages of the process include short cycle times, good final surface quality and tight dimensional tolerances. An example of the configuration of swaging dies is shown in Fig. 1. The dies are mounted in a moving head with rollers. As the swaging dies move past and make contact with the rollers, they extend inward, toward the axis of symmetry, and reduce the cross-sectional area of the workpiece. The material is fed gradually through the swaging dies. The overall reduction is determined by the cross-section of the swaging die and that of the starting blank. In the process, local deformation in a small part of the workpiece takes place repeatedly at a high frequency. Thanks to the very favorable stress state in the workpiece induced during deformation, the process can be used for further processing of materials worked by SPD processes (SPD – severe plastic deformation).

II. EXPERIMENTS

The experimental materials were in the form of 10 mm-diameter bars of commercial-purity titanium grade 2 and grade 4. The stock had not been heat-treated in any way prior to the forming experiments. Chemical compositions are given in Table I. Wire specimens were produced by progressive working in a rotary swager using 8 sets of swaging dies. The final wire diameters were: 8.13, 7.18, 6.48, 5.87, 5.22, 4.73, 4.25 and 3.81 mm. The resulting total logarithmic elongation was 2.2. True strain was calculated from:

\[ \varepsilon = \ln (e) \]

where \( e \) is the elongation.
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where \( d_0 \) and \( d_n \) are the starting bar stock and final wire diameters. Expressing deformation in this form was advantageous, as it corresponded to the shape of the wire and the strain intensity.

The speed of the head with the rollers was approximately 350 rev\(\min^{-1}\). The wire feeding rate was approx. 3 m\(\min^{-1}\). Specimens for tensile testing and metallographic examination were taken from each forged wire.

Longitudinal and transverse metallographic sections were prepared by mechanical grinding and polishing. In the final polishing step, 10% hydrogen peroxide solution with colloidal silica of 50 nm mean grain size was used. The microstructure was revealed by etching with Kroll’s reagent. Micrographs were taken using Nikon Eclipse MA 200 optical microscope.

Durascan 50 automatic hardness tester was used for measuring microhardness. Hardness represented by the HV1 Vickers hardness number was measured on longitudinal sections through the specimens. HV0.3 load was used for transverse sections.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP-Ti gr.2</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-Ti gr.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. MICROSTRUCTURAL CONDITION

Microstructure was examined on longitudinal and transverse sections. The starting grain size in Ti grade 2 was approx. 45 µm; in Ti grade 4 it was approx. 18 µm. On the longitudinal cross-section, the grains in both materials became elongated in the longitudinal direction while their width in the transverse direction decreased due to cold deformation. No signs of recovery were found. Micrographs of the transverse sections in individual wires are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The mean grain size became much finer, near an estimated 1 µm in both titanium materials. However, ultrafine microstructures of the kind produced by SPD processes as described by some authors [7] cannot be considered here. Texture would have to
be examined using X-ray methods [8].

IV. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

Tensile test data were plotted against the total accumulated true strain. The plot of ultimate strength $R_m$ and offset yield strength $R_{p0.2}$ is shown in Fig. 4. The values for the starting bars of 10 mm diameter are plotted at the total strain of 0. The differences in strength are due to interstitial elements. Their level is higher in titanium grade 4, including the one with the most profound effect: oxygen.

The ratio of the starting strengths for both materials is 1.36. At the total strain of 2, the ratio of their ultimate strengths is 1.22. With increasing strain, both materials undergo work hardening. More appreciable strengthening can be seen in titanium grade 2 where it appears that lower content of interstitial elements allows dislocations to accumulate more extensively in the solid solution. On the other hand, better values are found in titanium grade 4 where initial strengthening due to interstitial elements takes effect. As a result, reduction to the diameter of 3.81 mm led to an ultimate strength of 1040 MPa, while the starting value was 650 MPa. The curve of the offset yield strength $R_{p0.2}$ matches relatively well the curve of ultimate strength. The value of $A_5$ elongation decreases steeply after the first two reductions. Afterwards, it remains below 10% in titanium grade 4, as illustrated in Fig. 5. Titanium grade 2 shows better elongation values: between 10 and 15%.

![Fig. 4 Ultimate strength $R_m$ and offset yield strength vs. total true strain $\varepsilon$ in titanium grades 2 and 4](image1)

![Fig. 5 Elongation $A_5$ vs. total true strain $\varepsilon$ in titanium grades 2 and 4](image2)

Hardness profiles HV1 and HV0.3 on longitudinal and transverse sections, respectively, through rotary-swaged wires were measured. At each distance from the wire axis, hardness was more or less constant along the length of the wire. A more interesting picture emerges after examining the transverse section. Fig. 6 shows hardness profiles between the surfaces and centres of specimens of titanium grade 2 plotted with respect to the relative distance (0 representing the edge and 1 representing the centre). Despite fluctuation, a trend can be seen where the surface hardness is, on average, 10 HV0.3 less than the hardness value at the centre. This is in agreement with the conclusions derived in [9] for rotary-swaged copper wires. In drawn products, the hardness profile is opposite, with the highest values near the wire surface. This fact can be useful in processing wires produced by a continuous SPD method [10], [11] in which surface microcracks may be present. In such case, further reduction of cross-sectional area can be better achieved by rotary swaging which causes less hardening in the surface.

For comparison, a profile of the modulus of elasticity was measured using a nanoindentation tester. The plots are shown in Fig. 7. In the starting condition, the modulus of elasticity fluctuates between the surface and the centre of the bar (from the surface down to approximately mid-radius). After reductions to 8.13 and 7.18 mm, the values of the modulus of elasticity across the cross section become more equal, with the exception of a surface layer with a thickness of approximately 0.1 of the wire diameter, in which modulus of elasticity is much lower. After reduction to 6.43 mm, this deviation disappears.

![Hardness profiles HV1 and HV0.3 on longitudinal and transverse sections, respectively, through rotary-swaged wires](image3)

![Modulus of elasticity plots across the cross section](image4)
The value of the modulus of elasticity depends on the amount of lattice defects [13]. Whereas the recrystallized material exhibits the specification-sheet value of the modulus of elasticity, accumulation of lattice defects leads to decreasing values. The decrease of the modulus of elasticity in the near-surface layer is an indication of the plastic strain being larger than in the rest of the cross-section. After reduction to 6.13 mm, strain was probably distributed uniformly across the cross-section.

Schrank [12] points out the difficulties in evaluating rotary swaging. In [12], the effect of the feed angle \( \phi \) is analysed in detail. This angle indicates the relative rotation between the wire and the swaging dies between two blows. Another parameter is the entrance angle of the swaging die, which is typically 3.5°.

V. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Wires of commercial-purity titanium grades 2 and 4 were produced in rotary swaging trials. Starting bars were progressively reduced to 3.81 mm which corresponds to a total true strain of 2.2. It was demonstrated that:

- grain size becomes finer on the transverse section and grains become elongated on the longitudinal section;
- titanium grade 2 shows better work hardening during cold deformation than titanium grade 4;
- in both materials under test, rotary swaging causes more appreciable strengthening in the centre of wire. This fact is documented by the hardness profile across the cross section.
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