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Md F. Mina, Mohammad D.H. Beg, Muhammad R. Islam, Abu K. M. M. Alam A. Nizam, and Rosli M. Younus

Abstract—In this study, structural, mechanical, thermal and electrical properties of poly (lactic acid) (PLA) nanocomposites with low-loaded (0−1.5 wt%) untreated, heat and nitric acid treated multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) were studied. Among the composites, untreated 0.5 wt% MWCNTs and acid-treated 1.0 wt% MWCNTs reinforced PLA show the tensile strength and modulus values higher than the others. These two samples along with pure PLA exhibit the stable orthorhombic α-form, whilst other samples reveal the less stable orthorhombic β-form, as demonstrated by X-ray diffraction study. Differential scanning calorimetry reveals the evolution of the mentioned different phases by controlled cooling and discloses an enhancement of PLA crystallization by nanotubes incorporation. Thermogravimetric analysis shows that the MWCNTs loaded sample degraded faster than PLA. Surface resistivity of the nanocomposites is found to be dropped drastically by a factor of 10^13 with a low loading of MWCNTs (1.5 wt%).
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I. INTRODUCTION

CARBON nanotubes (CNTs) reinforced polymeric nanocomposites have attracted considerable attention over pure polymers due to their enhanced thermal, mechanical and electrical performances [1-4]. These improvements in properties reportedly depends not only on the unique mechanical strength, high aspect ratio, excellent thermal and electrical conductivities of CNTs [5], but also on their alignment, adhesion and dispersion in polymer matrix as well as the degree of surface modification [6-8]. The formation of nanotubes agglomeration during mixing with polymer has been a major drawback of the development of CNT-based polymeric nanocomposites. Therefore, various ways have been employed to homogeneously disperse the nanotubes into the matrix [9-12]. Although, most of the previous research works on CNT-based composites were performed with synthetic plastics, bio-plastics have drawn a considerable interest to produce biodegradable nanocomposites recently [13-16]. Poly (lactic acid) (PLA) is a commonly used biodegradable polymer, which is being extensively studied for the purpose of replacing commodity polymers in near future. It consists of linear chain molecule, synthesized by ring opening polymerization or by condensation polymerization from the lactic acid monomers [17] and possesses good merits due to its melt processability, thermal and chemical resistance [18]. However, the brittleness and high-cost of PLA lose much of its potential in the fabrication of attractive materials for wide industrial applications.

In this study, PLA has been mixed well with untreated and treated multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNTs) of different compositions by extrusion in order to improve the dispersion of nanotubes, and then the ultimate nanocomposites were produced by injection molding. Besides, MWCNTs were subjected to both acid and heat treatments for their surface modification before incorporating into PLA. All the samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) study, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), mechanical test, thermal measurements and electrical tests. Especially, the evolution of two different crystalline structures in PLA as induced by different types of MWCNTs under controlled cooling by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was an interesting finding, whereas the injection molded samples showed only one crystalline phase as studied by XRD. All these results were correlated with the observed mechanical and electrical properties of the samples and discussed in detail in the present study.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Materials

PLA thermoplastic used in this study was collected from Nature Works®, PLA, 2002D, USA, having the melt index of 5-7 g/10 min at a temperature 210 °C and specific gravity of 1.24. MWCNTs of diameter ~110−170 nm, length ~5−9 μm and purity~90% and nitric acid (HNO₃) were purchased from Sigma, Aldrich, USA.

B. Acid and Heat Treatments of MWCNTS

For acid treatment of MWCNTs, about 10 g of MWCNTs were dipped in 1000 mL of HNO₃ (2M) solution. A continuous stirring with heating at 80°C of the solution for 4 hours was performed. After this treatment, the MWCNTs
containing solution was cooled down to room temperature and repeatedly diluted to reach the ultimate pH of 7 and filtered by 0.22 μm Millipore polycarbonate membranes using a vacuum pump. Heat treatment process of the original MWCNTs was done by heating them in a furnace up to 500°C and then cooled to room temperature.

C. Preparation of Composites

During composites fabrication, 0.05, 1.0, and 1.5 wt% MWCNTs contents were compounded with PLA by a twin screw extruder (Thermo Scientific Prism Eurolab-16, Germany) in the temperature profile ranging 110–190 °C with the screw rotating speed of 100-110 rpm. The extrudates were pelletized by chopper machine. Tests samples (Fig.1a) were prepared by a BOY15-S (Germany) injection molding machine in a temperature profile ranging 165-150°C with the screw rotating speed of 100-110 rpm 155 rpm. The highest values of mechanical properties as examined by the tensile test were considered as the optimized filler and further composites with acid and heat treated nanotubes were prepared by the optimum filler content.

D. Tensile Testing of Composites

Tensile testing was conducted according to ASTM 638-08, using a Shimadzu (Model: AG-1) Universal tensile machine fitted with a 5 kN load cell operated at a cross-head speed of 10 mm/min. Five samples of each category were tested for tensile strength (TS) and tensile modulus (TM) measurements, keeping a 65 mm gauge length.

E. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Fractured surface morphologies of nanocomposites were monitored by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (model-ZEISS, EVO 50, Germany). For measurements, samples were placed onto a metal based holder with the help of double sided sticky carbon tape. Prior to observations, samples were coated with gold for composites by means of a vacuum sputter-coater for ease of conduction.

F. X-ray Diffraction Measurement

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were conducted on tensile specimen of composites using a Rigaku Miniflex II, Japan (at 30 kV, 15 mA), which was equipped with a computer controlled software to set up the apparatus and analyze the data. For XRD studies, the samples were cut into a size of 2 cm×2 cm. The specimens were step-wise scanned over the scattering angle (2θ) from 5 to 40°, with a step of 0.02°, using CuKα radiation of wavelength λ=1.541 Å. The data were collected in terms of the diffracted X-ray intensity (I) versus 2θ.

G. Thermogravimetric Analysis

Thermogravimetric measurements were performed by a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) (Q500 V6.4, Germany) in a platinum crucible under nitrogen atmosphere (flow rate 60 ml/min) with a heating rate of 20°C /min. The temperature range was scanned from 25 to 600°C.

H. Surface Resistivity Measurement

Surface resistivity (ρs) was measured at room temperature (28 °C) according to the set-up depicted in Fig. 1b by the direct current (DC) using the following formula [19]:

\[ \rho_s = \frac{U}{L \cdot \frac{D}{I_s}} \]  

(1)

where, \( U \) (volt) is the voltage drop across length \( L=0.01 \) (m) between two aluminium electrodes placed above the sample and \( I_s \) (ohm) is the surface current of the sample of width \( D=0.009 \) (m). Electrode size (length × width) was 0.018 m × 0.009 m. The DC voltage of 100 V was employed using a Keithly digital electrometer (Model 6517B, USA) and a micro-voltmeter, and the value of \( \rho_s \) was obtained in the unit of Ohm/m² as according to equation (1).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Mechanical Properties

Fig. 2 illustrates the TS and TM values of PLA and its composites prepared with untreated and treated MWCNTs. Clearly, the TS and TM values of pure PLA and its nanocomposites are in proximity to each other. Nevertheless, a
slightly high $TS$ and $TM$ for pure PLA, 0.5PMWC, and APMWC compared to 1.0PMWC, 1.5PMWC and HPMWC is also observed. This increment in mechanical properties with very low loaded nanotubes and with their acid treatment are probably because of evolved crystalline structures of PLA and the interaction of its molecules with nanotubes. It was reported that acid treatment can functionalize MWCNTs with -COOH groups and increase surface roughness on the graphen layers, which can facilitate hydrogen bonding between fillers and PLA molecules [20]. In contrast, the lowering in $TS$ and $TM$ values can be attributed to poor dispersion of MWCNTs due to formation of small agglomeration, which was also reported in the literature for low loading of MWCNTs in polypropylene [21].

Consider the diffuseness of peaks, the possibility of the appearance of $\alpha_X$ crystal in pure PLA, 0.5PMWC and APMWC. This variation in glass transition can be attributed to the difference in molecular mobility that is responsible for the difference in crystalline structures ($\alpha$- and $\beta$-crystals) of PLA in the nanocomposites investigated here. As stated before that increasing MWCNTs content may facilitate the formation of agglomerates, which act as nucleating sites with high surface free energy for the less stable $\beta$-form of PLA. On the other hand, the formation of the stable $\alpha$-phase was reported in organically-modified montmorillonite reinforced PLA [23], where the reinforcements were claimed to be homogeneously dispersed, acting as favorable nucleating agents for this phase. In our case, both $\alpha$- and $\beta$- phases are developed in PLA, depending on nanotubes concentration and treatments, thereby governing the observed variations in mechanical properties of the samples.

![XRD profiles of injection molded samples](image)

**Fig. 3 XRD profiles of injection molded (a) PLA, (b) 0.5PMWC, (c) 1.0PMWC, (d) 1.5PMWC, (e) HPMWC and (f) APMWC**

**C. Thermal Properties**

Fig. 4i illustrates the DSC thermograms obtained at first heating of the injection molded samples. In the DSC curves, one can notice the variations in glass transition temperature ($T_g$) at 58–67 °C, cold crystallization temperature ($T_c$) at 80–105 °C and melting temperature ($T_m$) at 150–170 °C, depending on the processing conditions (filler content and treatment) of samples. Although the $T_g$ and $T_m$ values are observed to be nearly the same for all samples, the heat capacity ($\Delta C_p$) during glass transition and the pattern of glass transition peak of 1.0PMWC, 1.5PMWC and HPMWC shows a significantly different look from that of PLA, 0.5PMWC and APMWC. This variation in glass transition can be attributed to the difference in molecular mobility that is responsible for the difference in crystalline structures ($\alpha$- and $\beta$- phases) of the samples. Most often, it is a common trend to show a high $T_g$ by a sample with a high degree of crystallinity, because the non-crystalline molecular chains are anchored to the more orderly crystalline states and are less mobile. The high values of $\Delta C_p$ may represent the cooperative molecular movement of PLA during transition from its glassy state to toughened state. It can be assumed that the high agglomeration of MWCNTs facilitate a large number of PLA macromolecules at its periphery to readily and cooperatively move during the glass transition. Compared with PLA, the $T_c$ of nanocomposites
occurs at lower temperatures, indicating an early crystallization of PLA after MWCNTs incorporation. In other words, nanotubes and their increasing amounts sufficiently induce the crystallization in PLA. As the nanotubes content increases, available surface area for heterogeneous nucleation in PLA also increases, thereby decreasing the $T_c$ value. On the other hand, single melting peak is observed for each sample with a shoulder at the onset, which varies from sample to sample. Obviously, the $T_m$ peak position also varies, based on the samples.

PLA clearly exhibits the $T_m$, $T_c$ and $T_m$ peaks with a shoulder at $T_m$, whilst nanocomposites do not show any glass transition and crystallization but reveals double melting behavior with no observable concurrent shoulder. These results demonstrate that pure PLA is not crystallized during cooling but crystallizes from its amorphous state on 2nd heating, while all nanocomposites were completely crystallized during cooling due the presence of fillers. The double melting characteristics might be related to the two different crystalline structures developed in composites during controlled cooling process in the DSC cell [24], not found for the injection molded samples. The amount of enthalpies is an indicator of the crystal population for these two phases. Evidently, one of the double melting peaks occurs at 163-165 °C and the other one at 167-169 °C, which, according to analysis, indicates the $\alpha$-phase. Therefore, 2nd heating results in $\alpha$-phase for pure PLA and both phases for nanocomposites in which the population of $\beta$-phase is large. The population ratio of two phases ($\alpha$: $\beta$) from melting enthalpies is analyzed to be 1:50. Due to separate crystalline structures, the stacked lamellar morphology of these two populations of PLA crystallites may be differed in lamellar thicknesses. Reportedly, the melting temperature of lamellar crystals is related to the following equation [21]:

$$T_m = T_m^0 - \frac{\Delta H_m^0}{l_c \sigma_e}$$

where $T_m$ is the observed melting point for the lamella of thickness $l_c$, $\sigma_e$ is the surface free energy, $\Delta H_m^0$ is the enthalpy of fusion for 100% crystalline PLA and $T_m^0$ is the melting temperature of infinitely large crystal. If $T_m^0$, $\sigma_e$ and $\Delta H_m^0$ are assumed as constants, the $T_m$ will change if $l_c$ changes that help explain the double melting points of composites. In this context, an interaction between MWCNTs with the PLA matrix is notable, because the nanotubes surface act as suitable nucleation sites to promote the growth and formation of transcristalline regions for organizing different lamellae in PLA, as was suggested elsewhere [25].
melt annealing process in DSC, MWCNTs may provide confined space and the low surface energies for rearrangement of PLA chains and thin lamellar crystal could be generated.

Fig. 6 demonstrates the TGA thermograms, where the start of weight-loss for various samples is indicated by an arrow. The weight-loss patterns for the samples are almost the same except the start of weight-fall, which depends on MWCNTs loading. Apparently, the onset of decomposition occurs earlier in APMWC than in any other sample. This difference in onset temperature can be explained by the following way, as reported elsewhere [21]. The high thermal conductivity of nanotubes may create localized high temperature in MWCNTs lumps as compared to PLA matrix for which the PLA molecules at the periphery of the lumps may start to degrade earlier. Besides, functionalized MWCNTs by nitric acid treatment can form the hydrogen bonding with PLA, which can ease the thermal conduction in APMWC and causes its early degradation. The degradation temperature \( T_d \) obtained from the differential TG curves of PLA, 0.5PMWC, 1.0PMWC, 1.5PMWC, HPMWC and APMWC are 345, 338, 332, 331, 333, and 332 °C, respectively.

\[ \rho_s = \text{1.13} \times 10^{15} \text{ ohm/m}^2 \] and 1.5PMWC shows the lowest value of \( \rho_s = \text{1.14} \times 10^{12} \text{ ohm/m}^2 \) indicating a significant decrease in resistivity by a factor of \( 10^3 \). Thus, it testifies that a slight addition of MWCNTs content in PLA makes a drastic fall of surface resistance, which is similar to those published elsewhere [21, 26]. These authors claimed that CNT has a high aspect ratio and many \( \pi \) bonds (C=C bond), which drive electrons easily in the nanocomposites.

![Fig. 6 TGA thermograms of the samples](image)

**D. Surface Morphology**

The SEM micrographs of the fractured surfaces of (a) PLA, (b) 1.0PMWC and (c) APMWC are presented in Fig. 7. Surface of PLA shows some striations and seems to be comparatively clean. On the other hand, a number of chunks are found on the surface of 1.0PMWC that may indicate the PLA crystallites. In contrast, huge numbers of small chunks are observable on the surface of APMWC. Apparently, the number of crystallites is large in nanocomposites. Cracks and striations develop in nanocomposites more than pure PLA after fracture.

**E. Surface Resistivity**

The surface resistivity, \( \rho_s \), for different samples are shown in Fig. 8, where a high \( \rho_s \) value indicates a less conductivity in the sample. The pure PLA exhibits the highest value of \( \rho_s \).
IV. CONCLUSION

Inclusion of MWCNTs enhances the mechanical and electrical properties of the PLA nanocomposites, suggesting a good dispersion of nanotubes by extrusion followed by injection molding. While the lower content of MWCNTs gives rise to the stable α-crystal in PLA, the higher content favors the formation of the less stable β-crystal in PLA. Heat treatment of nanotubes results in β-phase but acid treatment gives rise to α-phase.

![Fig. 8 Surface resistivity of different samples](image)

A complete crystallization in PLA by MWCNTs reinforcement is observed by DSC during cooling, while pure PLA remains amorphous state. MWCNTs induce crystallization of both phases under controlled cooling in the DSC cell, where the crystal population of β-phase is larger than that of α-phase. Thermal degradation temperature decreases in the samples with the increase of nanotubes as a result of the increased thermal conductivity in the nanocomposites due to the presence of MWCNTs. Decreased surface resistivity by incorporation of MWCNTs makes the PLA nanocomposites more conductive.
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