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Abstract—Discrete choice model is the most used methodology for studying traveler’s mode choice and demand. However, to calibrate the discrete choice model needs to have plenty of questionnaire survey. In this study, an aggregative model is proposed. The historical data of passenger volumes for high speed rail and domestic civil aviation are employed to calibrate and validate the model. In this study, different models are compared so as to propose the best one. From the results, systematic equations forecast better than single equation do. Models with the external variable, which is oil price, are better than models based on closed system assumption.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Freeway coach, railway and domestic airline provide the intercity transportation of the western corridor in Taiwan before 2007. Each mode has its advantages and disadvantages according to the operational condition and service level. Taiwan High-Speed Rail (THSR) starts its service and joins the competition in the corridor on January 5, 2007. Buckeye [1] considered that intercity distance range of 100–400 miles high-speed rail and air carriers can both serve the passengers, whereas Kanafani and Youssef [2] considered the intercity distance range of 220–620 miles. In 2005, Lin et al. [3] estimated that approximately 50% of the air trips, 20% of Taiwan Rail Administration (TRA) trips, and 15% of freeway coach trips in Taiwan’s western corridor would be replaced by THSR. A before-and-after comparison investigates passengers for the modal splits on Taiwan’s western corridor regarding THSR’s operations, 67.2% of air passengers, 47.6% of TRA passengers and 32.5% freeway coach travelers would change their mode of travel to THSR [4]. The results show that the three original modes of intercity transport are significantly affected by THSR, especially the air travel market. The impact of THSR on air market is much larger than the estimation of related studies [5]-[7]. Because of under estimation of the impact of THSR, airlines did not set up strategies to compete with THSR. Therefore, a robust forecasting model is necessary for transportation service operators so as to set up proper strategies or find out their niches to survive the keen competition.

Generally, regression method is the most used forecasting techniques. However, the predicted ability depends on the regression function and variables.
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Sometimes it is hardly to explain how the independent variables influence the dependent variables by the function. Time series analysis, which is also a common used method, might have the same problem. To analyze modal choice, discrete choice model is used mostly. By inquiring revealed and stated preference data, a Logit model or Probit model is built for analyzing modal split [8]-[12]. However, discrete choice model might have the same problem. To analyze modal choice, discrete choice model is used mostly. By inquiring revealed and stated preference data, a Logit model or Probit model is built for analyzing modal split [8]-[12]. However, discrete choice model involve questionnaire survey, which takes time and money. If the external environment varies largely, such as financial tsunami in 2008, the prediction may also have large bias. Recently, Shyr and Hung [13] present a game theory based model to predict the competition between airline and THSR. They calibrate the payoff functions and solve the Nash equilibrium by maximizing payoff functions with respect to fare rates and flight frequency after the operation of THSR. They found that domestic airlines should unify as an alliance and cut their daily flights by 50% to maintain profitability.

In this study, we develop a macroscopic model to predict the passenger volume of domestic airline and THSR in the western corridor of Taiwan. To describe the competitive relationship between airline and THSR, the model is in the form of dynamic system equations. The basic model is developed based on the assumption that the two travel modes are in a closed system. That is, the external environment is unchanged. However, the social-economical environment changes with time. Therefore, a further model, which considers oil price as the external influence, is proposed. Oil price influences the level of price, economic, purchase ability and choice of travel mode. From the result, the model, which considers oil price, has better predicted ability than the closed system model. The remaining content of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, the background of domestic airlines and THSR are introduced briefly. Dynamic competitive model is proposed and numerical results are presented in Sec. 3. Finally, the conclusion is drawn in Sec. 4.

II. DOMESTIC AIRLINE AND THSR IN TAIWAN

Since the Taiwan government carried out the deregulation policy, which is so-called “sky opening” policy, in 1987. The aviation market in Taiwan had considerably prospered. The aviation market had grown greatly and reached its highest record in 1997. However, the number of passengers taking western airline had started to decline since 1998. Figure 1(a) illustrates the declining trend. One of the reasons is the improvement of highway systems and the deregulation of freeway coach. Although the operation of THSR is another reason of recession of domestic civil aviation industry, in fact,
Taiwan's domestic airlines were facing a cash crunch before the high-speed rail service opened. Expecting the rapid opening of direct flights between Taiwan and China, airlines have made considerable investments in new planes during that time. Direct flights have, however, yet to materialize, and this preparedness has turned into a major financial burden. Soaring oil prices, industrial migration out of Taiwan and lackluster economic development on the island have also combined to reduce revenues. As a result, the domestic air travel market has shrunk and the THSR service is just another blow. Now, only the Mandarin Airlines, a subsidiary of Taiwan's China Airlines, still runs flights between Taipei and Kaohsiung, which once the nation's most lucrative domestic route that was also operated by three other local airlines, Uni Air, TransAsia Airways and Far Eastern Air Transport.

III. DYNAMIC COMPETITION MODEL

Dynamic competition model is extended from the population model. The simplest population model considered the growth rate of population is proportional to the population. If \( N(t) \) is the function of population and \( t \) is time. Let \( \alpha \) be the proportional constant. Then, we have \( dN(t)/dt = \alpha N(t) \). The model can be solved analytically if the initial condition is given. The solution is \( N(t) = N_0 e^{\alpha(t-t_0)} \), where \( t_0 \) is the initial time and \( N_0 \) is the initial population. According to empirical studies, the model can only apply to short-term forecasting because when \( t \) increases \( N \) increases exponentially. The result is unreasonable and unrealistic. In real world, the growth of population is also proportional to the capacity of the system, that is, \( dN(t)/dt \propto (M - N(t)) \), where \( M \) is the capacity of system and is a constant. Combine the capacity restriction to the previous model, the analytical model is obtained by separation of variables. It is in the logistic form, which is given by

\[
N(t) = M \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{M - N_0}{N_0} \right) e^{-\alpha t} \right].
\]

Under the close system assumption, Eq. (1) can be represented by a general form, which is \( dN(t)/dt = F(N,t) \). \( F(N,t) \) is the growth function. If two species of population are considered, the model is consisted by two equations with interaction terms, such as \( dN_1(t)/dt = F_1(N_1, N_2, t) \) and \( dN_2(t)/dt = F_2(N_1, N_2, t) \), where \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are two species, \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are growth functions of \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \), respectively. The most famous model in this form is the Lotka-Volterra (LV) model [14]-[16], the model is given by

\[
dN_1(t)/dt = a_1 N_1(t) + b_1 N_2(t) + c_1 N_1(t) N_2(t)
\]

(2)

\[
dN_2(t)/dt = a_2 N_2(t) + b_2 N_1(t) + c_2 N_1(t) N_2(t)
\]

(3)

where \( a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are coefficients. The multi-mode form of LV model is illustrated in Table 1 for the case of two species. Although there are five types of modes, note that there are two possible predator-prey interactions (depending on which species is the predator or prey) in predator-prey mode and two possible states (depending on which species is the stronger of the two) in amensalism model.

Bass model [17]-[18] is also a most used model for analyzing competition of two species or products. However, it is a parsimonious one which does not consider the competition factor in the market. Fisher and Pry [19] developed a simple substitution model based on the assumption that a new
technology would displace an older established technology. However, the weakness of the Fisher and Pry model does not show their competition. Norton and Bass [20] took the Bass model as its core driver and incorporated the Fisher and Pry model to demonstrate the substitution effect. It can be used to forecast technological market growth or decline. Among these, the mathematical Lokta-Voterra model was used in many papers and it could well explore the diffusion phenomenon and reciprocal competition of two species [21]-[25]. Furthermore, equations of the system are not restricted to two. If there are three or four species, the system can be extended to three or four equations.

The relationship according to the signs of $c_1$ and $c_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$c_1$</th>
<th>$c_2$</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Pure competition</td>
<td>Both species suffer from each other’s existence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Predator-prey</td>
<td>One of them serves as direct food ($N_1$) to the other ($N_2$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mutualism</td>
<td>It is the case of symbiosis or a win-win situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Amensalism</td>
<td>One ($N_1$) suffers from the existence of the other ($N_2$), who is impervious to what is happening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Neutralism</td>
<td>There is no interaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dN_1(t)}{dt} &= a_1 N_1(t) + b_1 N_2^2(t) + c_1 N_1(t) N_2(t) + d_1 \text{oil}(t), \\
\frac{dN_2(t)}{dt} &= a_2 N_2(t) + b_2 N_1^2(t) + c_2 N_1(t) N_2(t) + d_2 \text{oil}(t),
\end{align*}
\]

Oil price is considered as the external effect because it not only influences the operating cost of airlines but also influences modal choice of travelers. In addition, oil price may also influence the inflation and influence the transportation demand indirectly. Therefore, we consider oil price is a good external variable. In our model, oil price is considered as the external input.

Figures 2 to 5 illustrate the comparison between actual and predicted data. To obtain the models, we calibrate coefficients by historical data firstly. Then, the models can be used to forecast. Table II is the R-square value of each equation. Obviously, single equation cannot forecast the passenger volume of airline well. The results of systematic models are better. Table III gives the absolute percentage error. From the results, models with oil price are better than models without oil price. According to the R-square value of each equation, the LV model with oil price should be the best model. However, the result of Fig. 3(b) seems to be better than the result of Fig. 5(b). Therefore, a combined model, which is consisted of Eq. (7) and (8), is proposed. Figure 6 shows the results, which are better than the four kinds of models presented above.
Fig. 4 Actual and predicted passenger volume of domestic civil aviation by systematic models (a) without oil price and (b) with oil price.

Fig. 5 Actual and predicted passenger volume of THSR by systematic models (a) without oil price and (b) with oil price.

Fig. 6 Actual and predicted passenger volume of (a) domestic civil aviation and (b) by combined systematic models (Eq. (7) and (8)).

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>R² of airline</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>R² of THSR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Max (%)</th>
<th>MAPE (%)</th>
<th>Min(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eq.(4)</td>
<td>airline</td>
<td>1045.19</td>
<td>321.05</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq.(5)</td>
<td>THSR</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq.(6)</td>
<td>airline</td>
<td>1171.68</td>
<td>359.91</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq.(7)</td>
<td>THSR</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV w/o oil price</td>
<td>airline</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>58.91</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THSR</td>
<td>39.99</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV with oil price</td>
<td>airline</td>
<td>89.74</td>
<td>40.99</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THSR</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combined</td>
<td>airline</td>
<td>97.24</td>
<td>39.84</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THSR</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* prediction of passenger volume has negative value.
LV w/o oil price model: Eqs (2) and (3)
LV with oil price model: Eqs (8) and (9)
combined model: Eqs (7) and (8)

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Eq</th>
<th>(a_i)</th>
<th>(b_i)</th>
<th>(c_i)</th>
<th>(d_i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LV with oil price</td>
<td>Airline</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>2.45×10^{-7}</td>
<td>354.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THSR</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>4.93×10^{-7}</td>
<td>96678.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combined</td>
<td>Airline</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>2.45×10^{-7}</td>
<td>354.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THSR</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60393.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Conclusion

In this study, dynamic competitive models for forecasting passenger volumes of domestic civil aviation and THSR are proposed. According to the results, systematic equations forecast better than single equations do. Models with the external variable, which is oil price, are better than models based on closed system assumption. We find that the model consists of the highest R-square value may not present the most accurate result. A calibration method of calibrating coefficients of the systematic equation so as to obtain the best forecasting should be studied and left for further researches.
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