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Abstract—A study was undertaken to assess the potential of an Algal Turf Scrubber to remove nitrogen from aquaculture effluent to reduce environmental pollution. High total ammonia nitrogen concentrations were introduced to an Algal Turf Scrubber developed under varying hydraulic surface loading rates of African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) effluent in a recirculating aquaculture system. Nutrient removal rates were not affected at total suspended solids concentration of up to 0.04g TSS/l (P > 0.05). Nitrogen removal rates 0.93-0.99g TAN/m²/d were recorded at very high loading rates 3.76-3.81 g TAN/m²/d. Total ammonia removal showed ½ order kinetics between 1.6 to 2.3mg/l Total Ammonia Nitrogen concentrations. Nitrogen removal increased with its loading, which increased with hydraulic surface loading rate. Total Ammonia Nitrogen removal by Algal turf scrubber was higher than reported values for fluidized bed filters and trickling filters. The algal turf scrubber also effectively removed nitrate thereby reducing the need for water exchange.
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I. INTRODUCTION

High concentration of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in effluent discharge is one of the major water quality problem of environmental concern reported from aquaculture [1]. Periphyton has demonstrated ability to improve water quality in a Recirculation Aquaculture System (RAS). A periphyton reactor can replace a trickling filter and a sedimentation unit in a regular RAS processing a feed load of very high quality in an ATS grown under different loading conditions and subjected to high initial ammonia concentrations. The effluent used came from African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) RAS.

Ammonia uptake rates can be measured under light and dark conditions. Under dark conditions, irregular patterns of uptake have been observed for reasons not well understood. Reference [3] also observed higher removal rates, under dark conditions, at low TAN concentrations than at high concentrations, which was unlikely. This was the case probably because the nitrifying bacteria were present in the biofilm in a dormant state, and once exposed to ammonia (when the algae do not work) become active. It takes a few hours for bacteria to become activated, as it takes some time for ammonia to diffuse to the nitrifying bacteria. On the contrary, under light conditions, the ammonia uptake rate showed 1/2-order kinetics up to 1.6-2.8mg/l TAN and 0-order kinetics beyond that concentration. Increasing loading generally increases nutrient content. In waste water treatment, reported nutrient removal capacity of periphyton either by itself [6] or in combination with emergent floating or submerged macrophytes [7]-[8]-[9] varied, probably declining with extremely increased areal loading rate, decreasing periphyton surface to water volume ratio and increasing depth. This study aimed at estimating potential TAN removal in an ATS grown under different loading conditions and subjected to initial ammonia concentrations. The effluent used came from African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) RAS.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the hatchery “De Haar Vissen” at Wageningen University, The Netherlands. The periphyton RAS generally consists of fish tank, periphyton reactor and a sump (Fig. 1). In this experiment, effluent water (predetermined Q) from catfish tanks was diverted into a collector tank where it was homogenized by stirring before passing to the ATS. The ATS was illuminated by two halide lamps.

The ATS was operated under different flow rates to change the organic matter load to the ATS. The experiment lasted 5 weeks. On the seventh day of each week, the sump was emptied. This is when a short circuit was introduced in the system. The periphyton reactor was disconnected from the RAS, and operated in short circuit using sump water for a maximum 12hrs under light condition. The overflow pipes from the collecting bucket to sump and from sump to the main effluent pipe were disconnected. At the start of the circuit, the water in the sump was treated with dissolved Ammonium Chloride (NH₄Cl) to achieve 3.11mg/l, 5.13mg/l or 8mg NH₄-N mg/l, respectively.
The first water sample was collected at the inlet immediately after dissolving the NH4CL into the sump. Thirty (30) minutes were allowed for stabilizing the system after which samples were collected every 20-30 minutes. Samples were collected in 10ml tubes and were analyzed the same day for NH4, NO3, and NO2 using a SAN auto-analyzer (Skalar, The Netherlands). Water samples were also collected in 500-ml bottles prior to the short circuit, which were treated with sulphuric acid to lower pH below 2 and were kept under 4°C. They were later analyzed for total solids (total suspended solids (TSS) and dry matter (DM), in accordance with [10].

Dissolved oxygen (DO) (WTW, Oxi 340i, Retsch, The Netherlands), temperature (Testo 110, Retsch, The Netherlands), pH (WTW, pH340, Retsch, The Netherlands) and conductivity (WTW, LF318, Retsch, The Netherlands) were also measured throughout each experiment. After each experiment, the periphyton screens were partially (50%) harvested and sludge was collected from the periphyton tank to give the biofilm vigor. Data were analyzed in S-Plus 6.1.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Temperature ranged between 25.7 and 28.6°C, which was appropriate for the performance of the ATS. In all the five experiments, DO, and pH slightly increased with time in the ATS, pH increased from 7.14 to 8.49 following nitrification process, but it was still within the recommended range for the performance of the bacteria. Water volume in the periphyton tank was maintained at 0.028 m³, while in the sump it was at 0.060m³. Water flow rate (Q) was 4.55m³/d. TSS concentration was almost similar throughout the experiment implying that the system’s maximum TSS (0.04 g/l) was reached (Table I) [11]. Similarly, sludge dry matter did not differ significantly (p>0.05) across the experiments.

#### TABLE I

INITIAL NH4-N CONCENTRATIONS FOR CORRESPONDING WEEKLY HYDRAULIC SURFACE LOADING RATES, TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS AND SLUDGE DRY MATTER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[NH4-N] (g/m³)</th>
<th>HSL for week (m³/m²/d)</th>
<th>TSS (g/l)</th>
<th>Sludge dry matter (g/week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>40.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>58.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>63.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>61.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>58.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Average TAN and corresponding inflection points were estimated from graphs (Fig. 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11).

### A. Nitrogen Dynamics and Removal Rates

#### 1. TAN Removal

#### TABLE II

INITIAL HSL, TAN CONCENTRATIONS, REMOVAL RATES AND TIME TAKEN TO REACH ZERO ORDER REMOVAL RATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[NH4-N] (g/m³)</th>
<th>Max [TAN] (g/m³)</th>
<th>Min [TAN] (g/m³)</th>
<th>Average TAN (g/m²/d) at inflection point ¹</th>
<th>[TAN] (g/m³ or mg/l) at inflection of TAN</th>
<th>Time to constant TAN (minutes)</th>
<th>Experiment Time (minutes)</th>
<th>HSL for the week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Average TAN and corresponding inflection points were estimated from graphs (Fig. 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11).
Fig. 2 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 3.11g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 0.62m³/m²/d

Fig. 3 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 3.11g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 0.62m³/m²/d

Fig. 4 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 3.11g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.08 m³/m²/d

Fig. 5 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 3.11g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.08 m³/m²/d

Fig. 6 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 5.13g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.17 m³/m²/d

Fig. 7 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 5.13g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.17 m³/m²/d

Fig. 8 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 8.00g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 0.66 m³/m²/d

Fig. 9 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 8.00g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 0.66 m³/m²/d
TAN removal started immediately after ammonia was dissolved into the system. Depending on prior loading conditions and the initial ammonia concentrations, TAN removal took different patterns (Table II and Fig. 2-11). At higher initial NH$_4$-N loading, it took longer for removal rate to assume zero order rates (comparing almost similar HSL; 0.62 and 0.66; and HSL=2.08 and 2.17). N removal rates increased with NH$_4$-N loading (Fig. 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11).

2. Nitrite Nitrogen (NO$_2$-N) Removal

Fig. 10 Change in [TAN] over time for initial load 8.00 gNH$_4$-N/m$^3$ in ATS developed under HSL 1.96 m$^3$/m$^2$/d

Fig. 11 Change in [TAN] for initial load 8.00gNH$_4$-N/m$^3$ in ATS developed under HSL 1.96 m$^3$/m$^2$/d

Fig. 12 Change in [NO$_2$-N] over time for initial load 3.11g NH$_4$-N/m$^3$ in ATS developed under HSL 0.62 m$^3$/m$^2$/d

Fig. 13 Change in net NO$_2$-N removal rate for initial load 3.11g NH$_4$-N/m$^3$ in ATS developed under HSL 0.62 m$^3$/m$^2$/d

Fig. 14 Change in net NO$_2$-N removal rate for initial load 3.11g NH$_4$-N/m$^3$ in ATS developed under HSL 2.08 m$^3$/m$^2$/d

Fig. 15 Change in net NO$_2$-N removal rate for initial load 3.11g NH$_4$-N/m$^3$ in ATS developed under HSL 2.08 m$^3$/m$^2$/d
TAN removal showed ½ order kinetics between 1.6 to 2.3mg/l TAN concentrations, which agrees with results from [3] who reported 1.6-2.8mg/l. However, the ½ order TAN removal rates (TAN) estimated in this study were higher than reported in many aquaculture and waste water treatment research findings. For example, the ATS TAN (esp. 0.68, 0.70, 0.72 and 0.90g TAN/m²/d; Table III) were significantly superior to those reported for other types of biofilters. For example, [12] reported removal rates 0.27 and 0.21g TAN/m²/d for two fluidized beds when the inlet concentration was 2.2 mg TAN/l, whereas [13] found approximately 0.3g TAN/m²/d for trickling filter. Reference [14] reported TAN 0.43g TAN m²/d for 2 mg TAN/l inlet concentrations. Reference [15] reported slightly higher values: 5.7g TAN/m²/d for 10mg TAN/l inlet concentrations and this was because of the high initial TAN concentration used.
The minimum TAN concentrations (0.27mg/l -0.7mg/l) achieved in the 7-12 hour period of the experiments were higher than values reported from a 24-hour experiment with a periphyton reactor, trickling filter and a combination of the two (below 0.01mg/l) [2]. Lower values would be attained with experimental time much longer than 12 hours as in [2]. Nevertheless, the minimum concentrations achieved in this study were still lower than those found in other types of systems e.g. 0.6-0.7mgTAN/l reported by [16] Leclercq and Hopkins (1985) for an airlift recycling tank.

Higher zero-order removal rates were observed for higher ammonia loads. Reference [14], [6] and [15], also reported that N removal rates increased with increasing ammonia loading rate and biomass.

Nitrite accumulated in the ATS before removal took place in all cases (Fig. 12 - 21). However, the time of accumulation to the onset of removal varied with loading conditions. Nitrite accumulated due to initial suboptimal balance between Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter like organisms. When nitrification rate approached a constant rate (2-4 hours later) (Table II), accumulation of NO₂-N stopped (Figs. 13, 15, 17, 19, and 21). After this point, oxidation rate of nitrite exceeded its production rate giving a net reduction in concentration in the water. Both negative and positive removal rates recorded between 0.8mg/l and 1.75mg/l NO₂-N concentrations are indicative of removal and accumulation, respectively. The minimum concentration of 0.8mg/l from the study is already lower than threshold for certain cultured organisms (e.g. Tilapia) [17]. However, this minimum was only achieved in a biofilm developed under low HSL (0.62m³/m²/d). The case of a low initial TAN (3.11mg/l) gave a clear trend with a moderately steep graph tail (Fig. 13) suggesting that more time would probably be required to reach true minimum NO₂-N concentration in the effluent.

It should be noted that at high HSL (HSL 1.96, 2.08 and 2.17m³/m²/d) the peak NO₂-N concentrations were much higher (Fig. 15, 17 and 21) due to the NO₂-N already present prior to the experiment. Furthermore, at such high initial NH₄-N loading the NO₂-N accumulated for longer period (7-9hours) before declining, as a consequence of increased nitrification.

Net nitrite removal rate was difficult to interpret because it only measured the difference between accumulation rate and the true removal rate, and these fractions were not estimated. The high (peak) nitrite concentrations pause a potential problem for culture organisms in RAS using ATS. As such, at high nutrient loading rates it would be necessary to remove sludge thrice every two weeks to reduce the cumulative effect. Furthermore, complete harvesting of the periphyton mat would increase nitrite removal potential since young periphyton exhibits higher nutrient removal capacity [18]. As (re)colonization takes place, the imbalance between Nitrosomonas and Nitrobacter can be minimized as Nitrobacter grows faster. Since nitrite is the growth-limiting substrate for Nitrobacter, according to [19], high nitrite levels present in the water at harvest will enhance development of Nitrobacter. At high nutrient concentrations, low flow rates may also be used to control nutrient loading rate and increase effluent residence time in the ATS.

3. Nitrate Nitrogen (NO₃-N) Removal

Nitrate removal started immediately following denitrification in some cases (Fig. 424, 26 and 30) while in others it accumulated for some time before removal took place (Fig. 22 and 28). Nitrate removal rates took no definite pattern (Fig. 23, 25, 27, 28 and 31).

It should be noted that at high HSL (HSL 1.96, 2.08 and 2.17m³/m²/d) the peak NO₃-N concentrations were much higher (Fig. 15, 17 and 21) due to the NO₃-N already present prior to the experiment. Furthermore, at such high initial NH₄-N loading the NO₃-N accumulated for longer period (7-9hours) before declining, as a consequence of increased nitrification.
Fig. 24 Change in [NO₃-N] over time for initial load 3.11g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.08 m³/m²/d

Fig. 25 Change in net NO₃-N removal rate for initial load 3.11g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.08 m³/m²/d

Fig. 26 Change in [NO₃-N] over time for initial load 5.13g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.17 m³/m²/d

Fig. 27 Change in net NO₃-N removal rate for initial load 5.13g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 2.17 m³/m²/d

Fig. 28 Change in [NO₃-N] over time for initial load 8.00g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 0.66 m³/m²/d

Fig. 29 Change in net NO₃-N removal rate for initial load 8.00g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 0.66 m³/m²/d

Fig. 30 Change in [NO₃-N] over time for initial load 8.00g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 1.96 m³/m²/d

Fig. 31 Change in net NO₃-N removal rate for initial load 8.00g NH₄-N/m³ in ATS developed under HSL 1.96 m³/m²/d
The rise in nitrate concentration reflected the immediate decline in nitrite at low HSL (0.62m³/m²/d). Nitrate only started decreasing about 3 hours later (Fig. 23 and 29). Contrariwise, the higher HSL’s (1.96, 2.08 and 2.17m³/m²/d) resulted in immediate decline in nitrate at the start of the experiment (Fig. 25, 27 and 31) due to higher denitrification capacity arising from anoxic conditions created by high sludge accumulation. The steep slope present in all cases suggests that it would require more time to remove more NO₃-N. It was difficult to interpret removal rate data because what was measured was the difference between accumulation rate and the actual removal rate. There was no definite pattern in the evolution of net removal with change in NO₃-N concentration (Fig. 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32). Since NO₂-N uptake is inhibited by algae in the presence of ammonia [20] (Syrett, 1981) removal rates may not directly depend on the NO₂-N concentrations in the effluent although the system was closed.

The general decrease in nitrate concentration with time makes ATS superior to trickling filters with regards to nitrate removal. The decrease in nitrate with time offers a possibility to reduce necessity of water exchange in RAS using ATS with the goal of reducing nitrate levels. Since removal rate is higher in young biofilm than in a mature one [18], complete harvesting of periphyton mat would further reduce the need for water exchange.

IV. CONCLUSION

The ATS ably removed TAN at removal rates as high as 9.49 TAN/m²/d under high initial TAN concentration of 8g/m³. TAN removal showed ½ order kinetics between 1.6 to 2.3mg/l TAN concentrations. The maximum half-order TAN removal (0.90g/m²/d) was higher than rates recorded for other types of filters including fluidized bed filters and trickling filters. The ATS reduced TAN concentrations beyond thresholds for aquaculture species such as Tilapia. Regardless of increase in weekly sludge biomass accumulation in the ATS prior to ammonia loads, TAN removal rate increased with nutrient loading. The ATS is potentially also ideal for removal of nitrate which in turn would reduce need for water exchange in RAS. Future research should explore the maximum technical and economic potential for commercial application.
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