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Abstract—Through this paper we present a method for automatic generation of ontological model from any data source using Model Driven Architecture (MDA), this generation is dedicated to the cooperation of the knowledge engineering and software engineering. Indeed, reverse engineering of a data source generates a software model (schema of data) that will undergo transformations to generate the ontological model. This method uses the meta-models to validate software and ontological models.

Keywords—Meta model, model, ontology, data source.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ontologies are increasingly used which focuses on the medium of storage of its data. To avoid storing data in memory using specific text files (OWL/XML, RDF/XML, ...) the use of DBMS seems the best solution in term of resource management. That said, researchers in the ontological field are giving growing interest to data sources (mostly relational), seen that the management systems of the latter offer a mature solution with solid theoretical foundations.

Model Driven Engineering (MDE) represent a change of paradigm of the software engineering, it focuses on the notion of model (higher level of abstraction) as the basis of conception replacing the notion of object in object’s approach. A model undergoes transformations to generate other models of the same nature (endogenous transformation) or the different nature (exogenous transformation) that's why we interest in the MDE in this paper:

From a data source we can extract a model by retro engineering; this model would be transformed to a model of ontology.

II. RELATED WORKS

Ontology can be created or enriched by various data sources. We quote the not structured data such as text and dictionaries …, the data semi structured or data based on structured schemas.

In this paper, we focus on data sources structured and semi structured. By manipulating ontology, many problems can be detected: merging several models of ontology in the same architecture, enrichment of ontology by several data sources and supplying data sources with ontological data.

We differentiate several families of research works which approach the transition of data sources towards ontology.

A. Passage of the Relational Schema to the Ontological Model

We quote the passage from relational database to ontology, [1], [2], this passage is determined by rules of transition of the elements of relational database (relations, attributes, constraints ...) to the equivalent elements in the ontology (classes, properties data, properties object, ...). Another demarche [3] is based on analysis of the relational schema combined with analysis of tuples of the relational database to extract more semantics. Other work [4] are based on the analysis of user views (HTML forms on a web application, for example) in order to extract the semantics that will enrich the relational model. Rules are defined by passages in order to convert the relational schema directly to ontology.

B. Passage of the Class Diagram to the Ontological Model

Several works were done to describe the direct transformation of UML to OWL. We quote works based on the transformation of UML’s models to ontology by using serialization XMI with XSLT: [5]-[7], the idea is to transform UML models into XMI and apply the XSLT transformation. Another demarche uses the transformation language ATL (Atlas Transformation language) for passage the model to ontology [8]. We also include works that offer a translation of UML to OWL with preservation of semantics [9]. Other works [10]-[12] are based on UML profiles; they each propose its own profile and the transition to ontology languages. Reference [13] proposed another approach which is based on UML diagrams annotated while the approach [14], [15] translates the UML in OCL and then in OWL. Reference [16] proposes a solution based on an MDA-defined architecture for ontology development and the Ontology UML Profile (OUP), this approach represent an ontology by a UML Profile and transform into OWL description.

III. PROPOSITION

This work is integrated of an approach to two-way navigation between data sources eventually heterogeneous and ontology.

We propose the generation of a model of ontology from a data source model, this generation is based on a reverse engineering in order to preserve the flexibility of models on data level (persistence level) and functionality level (software level).

As any proposal which based on the MDA approach [17], we use the models as abstract elements for the standardization of the stages of the generation of the ontology from any data source.
Indeed, the MDA (Model Driven Architecture) [18] is based on techniques of modelisation and techniques of models transforming. It creates a computation independent model that transforms at a Platform Independent Model and eventually transforms it into a specific model (implementation).

The originality of our proposal is that it is based on Meta models perfectly tailored to the nature of the problem (convert conceptual representations, expressed as diagrams graphics classes into Ontology Language OWL represented with XMI, RDF and OWL). The mapping between Meta models is expressed by an operational language “QVT Operational”.

The UML model results of reverse engineering from data source. Indeed, whatever the data source it is based on a single model which will undergo a reverse engineering to generate a UML model (class diagram).

A. Meta Meta Model Level

In Meta Meta model level, we use the MOF (Meta Object Facility) [19] standard for manipulate the lower level Meta model. Several standards exist, namely MOF and BNF (Backus–Naur Form) we choose MOF because it is the OMG (Object Management Group) standard. Indeed, the MOF is used to define Meta models; it is a cyclical language for Meta models which means it describes itself.

B. Meta Model Level

1) The Proposed Meta Model of Class Diagram

At Meta model level, we propose the UML Meta model elaborated with the UML Language.

UML Meta model is a class diagram that specifies the structure of all UML diagrams. Indeed, class diagram is UML Diagram which defines concepts through classes and the relations between concepts through associations.

To define a Meta model, with all types of elements, constraints and relationships, several approaches are possible:

- Define a new Meta model which is not based on Meta model already exist
- Define a Meta model based on an existing Meta model by modifying, deleting items unnecessary for business context or technical context in question, or even adding elements considered essential.
- Be based on Meta models provided by Meta Meta models like Ecore which propose a UML Meta model.

We opted for the definition of our Meta model UML based on existing Meta model by adding some simplifications and modifications to adapt it to our context of study: Our Meta model is then a class diagram consisting of packages, types, associations, each association is a set of arities, each arity connects a source class with a target class. Arities are in
number of participating classes in the association. An UML class can inherit from another UML class.

2) The Meta Model OWL Proposed
We also propose the OWL Meta model developed in UML:

![Diagram of the Meta Model OWL proposed](image)

We consider ontology as a set of classes, resources, object properties and data properties. A class is an ontology element which can have other sub classes

An ElementMultiplicity is an element of ontology which can be multiple; we define a maximal cardinality and a minimal cardinality.

An Object property is an ElementMultiplicity; it is connected to a source class (domain) and a target class (range)

A data property is an element of ontology which has a resource (range) it is member of a class (domain).

3) Transformations
Transformations are seen as inference rules parametrisable.

A transformation is used to switch from a conceptual representation to another by translating the elements of the first to find elements of the other. Indeed, a transformation can take upstream [1 ... *] source models that will transform in [1...*] target model, each model must be validated against a Meta model.

The academic projects under this approach are many, such as: AndroMDA [20], ATL (Atlas Transformation Language) [21], MTL (Model Transformation Language) [22] and QVT (Query View Transformation) [23] which is an OMG standard.

A model (view), instance of other models, will undergo transformation (transformation).

A transformation can modify a model or create a new one.

The QVT standard defines three languages for expressing model to model transformations, such as:
- QVT Relations and QVT Core are declarative, the relations established between elements of source and target models are specified using constraints. They are purely declarative and their specification is not executable,
- QVT Operational mapping that has the privilege of being imperative; the transformations will be expressed in the form of executable instructions.

Qvt Operational may specify particular aspect of a transformation in a declarative languages (core or relation) then implement equivalent rules in the imperative language.

IV. IMPLEMENTATION

The implementation of our proposal is based on the following standards OMG:
- UML language for describing UML Meta model and models
- MOF as Meta modeling language
- QVT Operational mapping language for transforming models.

A. Meta Meta Model Level
In our approach, Meta Meta model level is assured by the standard MOF.

B. Meta Model Level
The application generates each model in XMI (Xml Metadata Interchange) format which is a standard for exchanging models and Meta models.

The transformation rules consist in defining mappings between different components of the source Meta model to the target Meta model, we define:

```
mapping Model :: ModelToOntology(): Ontology :
We express the necessary treatments to transform a component “Model” of UML Meta model to component “Ontology” of Meta model OWL.

mapping Class :: ClasseToClasse() : Class :
We transform a component “Class” of Meta model UML to a component “Class” of Meta model OWL.

mapping type :: TypeToRssource() : Resource :
It consists to transforming a component “type” of Meta model UML to a component “Resource” of Meta model OWL.

mapping Arity:: ArityToObjectProperty() : ObjectProperty :
It allows transforming a component “Arity” of Meta model UML to a component “ObjectProperty” of Meta model OWL.

mapping Property :: PropertyToDataProperty() : DataProperty :
We transform a component “Property” of Meta model UML to a component “DataProperty” of Meta model OWL.

mapping Class :: SubClassOfToSubClassOf():Class :
we transform the UML inheritance relation to the inheritance relation of OWL.
```
C. Model Level

We consider the class diagram generated from a data source:

For example, the mapping ModelToOntology generate the following trace:

The generated ontology:

The result expressed in XMI:

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xmi:XMI xmi:version="2.0"
 xmlns:xmi="http://www.omg.org/XMI"
 xmlns:owlmodele="http://owlmodele/1.0">
  <owlmodele:Ontology>
    <setOfClass name="owl_customer">
      <domain name="Name" range="/0/@resources.0"/>
      <domain name="Phone" range="/0/@resources.1"/>
    </setOfClass>
    <setOfClass name="owl_Order">
      <domain name="DateOrder" range="/0/@resources.2"/>
      <domain name="Number" range="/0/@resources.1"/>
    </setOfClass>
    <setOfClass name="owl_VIPCustomer" subOf="/1">
      <domain name="Discount" range="/0/@resources.3"/>
    </setOfClass>
    <resources id="Date"/>
    <resources id="Double"/>
    <objectsProperty name="Order" cardMax="1" cardMin="1"
 domain="/0/@setOfClass.1" range="/0/@setOfClass.0"/>
    <objectsProperty name="orderBy" cardMax="10" cardMin="1"
 domain="/0/@setOfClass.0" range="/0/@setOfClass.1"/>
  </owlmodele:Ontology>
</xmi:XMI>

Fig. 6 The class diagram generated from a data source

Fig. 7 Trace of mapping ModelToOntology
The generated ontology visualized by Protégé:

Fig. 9 The resultant ontology visualised by Protégé

V. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

The paper proposed concerns generating ontology from a data source. This proposal is generic and in accordance with the MDA approach. Indeed, from any data source we can extract a unique model that will undergo transformations through a process managed by the models to generate automatically the ontology. The Meta models proposed are appropriate with respect to the posed problem.

In perspective we will extend the proposal to discuss the transposition at the data level.
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